# **EICHLER-SHIMURA ISOMORPHISM**

HAOYUN YAO

ABSTRACT. This is the final paper report on Eichler-Shimura isomorphism. Basically, this is the reorganization of the course note [\[Wie18\]](#page-27-0).

## **CONTENTS**



#### 1. INTRODUCTION

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Let  $\Gamma \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a torsion free congruence subgroup. Consider the (open) modular curve  $Y_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  and the compactified one  $X_{\Gamma}$ . The Hodge decomposition provides a decomposition

$$
H^{1,0}(X_{\Gamma}) \oplus H^{0,1}(X_{\Gamma}) \cong H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(X_{\Gamma}).
$$

Recall [\[DS05](#page-27-2), §3.3] that  $f(z) \mapsto f(z)dz$  defines an isomorphism

$$
S_2(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\quad \sim \quad} H^0(X,\Omega_{X_{\Gamma}}) \cong H^{1,0}(X_{\Gamma}).
$$

Similarly,  $\overline{f(z)} \mapsto \overline{f(z)}d\overline{z}$  defines an isomorphism  $\overline{S_2(\Gamma)} \to H^{0,1}(X_{\Gamma})$ , where  $\overline{S_2(\Gamma)} := S_2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{C},\sigma} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sigma$  is the complex conjugation. In particular, we obtain an isomorphism

$$
S_2(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_2(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\quad \sim \quad} H^1_{dR}(X_{\Gamma}) \cong H^1_{sing}(X_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}).
$$

Let U be the intersection of  $Y_{\Gamma}$  with a sufficiently small neighborhood of cusps in  $X_{\Gamma}$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^1_{sing}(X_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^1_{sing}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^1_{sing}(U, \mathbb{C}).
$$

This follows from, for example, the excision and the long exact sequence for relative cohomology groups. By deRham theorem,  $H^1(Y_\Gamma,\mathbb{C})\cong H^1_{dR}(Y_\Gamma)$ , but this time  $H^0(Y_\Gamma,\Omega_{Y_\Gamma})\to H^1_{dR}(Y_\Gamma)$  is not injective due to the noncompactness of  $Y_{\Gamma}$ . Nevertheless, consider the composition

$$
M_2(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^0(Y_{\Gamma}, \Omega_{Y_{\Gamma}}) \longrightarrow H^1_{dR}(Y_{\Gamma}) \cong H^1_{sing}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C})
$$

Then we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n0 & \longrightarrow & S_2(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & M_2(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & M_2(\Gamma)/S_2(\Gamma) \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{sing}(X_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{sing}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{sing}(U, \mathbb{C}).\n\end{array}
$$

The map  $M_2(\Gamma) \to H^1_{sing}(U, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\oplus {\{cusps\}}}$  can be described by residues, so its kernel is exactly  $S_2(\Gamma)$ . This shows the rightmost arrow is injective. We already see the first vertical arrow is injective, so this implies so is the middle. Comparing the dimension yields an isomorphism

$$
M_2(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_2(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\quad \sim} H^1_{sing}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}).
$$

The image of  $H^1_{sing}(X_\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$  in  $H^1_{sing}(Y_\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$  coincides of that of  $H^1_{sing,\mathcal{C}}(Y_\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$  in  $H^1_{sing}(Y_\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$ ; we denote it by  $H^1_{inn}(Y_\Gamma,\mathbb{C})$ , the inner cohomology of  $Y_\Gamma$ . Hence

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nM_2(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_2(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1_{sing}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) \\
\uparrow \subseteq & & \uparrow \subseteq \\
S_2(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_2(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^1_{inn}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C})\n\end{array}
$$

Since Γ is torsion free, the fundamental group of  $Y_{\Gamma}$  is isomorphic to Γ and  $\pi : \mathbb{H} \to Y_{\Gamma}$  is the universal cover. Then  $H^1_{dR}(Y_{\Gamma}) \cong H^1_{sing}(Y_{\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) \cong Hom_{\mathbf{Grp}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ ; explicitly, a form  $\omega$  is sent to the homomorphism  $\gamma \mapsto \int^{\gamma z_0}$  $z_0$  $\pi^*\omega$ , where  $z_0$  is a fixed base point of  $\mathbb H$ . In other words, we obtain an isomorphism

$$
M_2(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_2(\Gamma)} \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})
$$
  
(f,  $\overline{g}$ )  $\longmapsto \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma \mapsto \int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} f(z) dz + \int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} \overline{g(z)} d\overline{z}$ 

This is the easiest case of the so-called **Eichler-Shimura isomorphism**. In the following this is going to be generalized to higher weight k. The constant sheaf C played in the cohomology will be replaced by a certain local system over  $Y_{\Gamma}$ . Our exposition will use group cohomology exclusively. For an approach using sheaf cohomology of local systems, see [\[DI95](#page-27-3), §12.2] and [\[Li20](#page-27-4), §9].

### 2. REVIEW ON GROUP COHOMOLOGY

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>2.1.  $\bf Inhomogeneous \, complexes. \, \, Fix \, a \, unital \, ring \, R \, and \, a \, group \, G. \, \, Let \, M \, be \, an \, R[G]-module. \, Define \, C_{inhom}^0(G, M)=$ M, and for  $p \geq 1$ , set  $C_{\text{inhom}}^p(G, M) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(G^p, M)$ . These have natural R-module structures induced from that on M. Define the coboundary map

$$
\partial^p:C^p_{inhom}(G,M)\xrightarrow{\hspace*{1cm}} C^{p+1}_{inhom}(G,M)
$$

by the formula:

$$
\partial u(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+1})
$$
\n
$$
= g_1.u(g_2, \ldots, g_{p+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i u(g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i g_{i+1}, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{p+1}) + (-1)^{p+1} u(g_1, \ldots, g_p).
$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $\partial^{p+1} \circ \partial^p = 0$  for  $p \ge 0$ , so  $(C^{\bullet}_{inhom}(G,M), \partial^{\bullet})$  forms a complex, called the **inhomogeneous complex**. As usual, put

$$
Z^{p}(G, M) = \ker(\partial^{p} : C_{inhom}^{p}(G, M) \to C_{inhom}^{p+1}(G, M))
$$
  
\n
$$
B^{p}(G, M) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } p = 0 \\ Im(\partial^{p-1} : C_{inhom}^{p-1}(G, M) \to C_{inhom}^{p}(G, M)) & , \text{if } p \ge 1 \end{cases}
$$
  
\n
$$
H^{p}(G, M) = Z^{p}(G, M)/B^{p}(G, M).
$$

The group  $H^p(G, M)$  is the p-th cohomology group of G with coefficients in M.

Let us look at the cohomology groups in low dimension. For  $x \in M = C^0_{\text{inhom}}(G, M)$ , by definition

$$
\partial x(g) = gx - x.
$$

Therefore  $H^0(G, M) = Z^0(G, M) = M^G := \{x \in G \mid gx = x \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ . For  $u \in C^1_{inhom}(G, M)$ ,

$$
\partial u(g_1g_2)=g_1.u(g_2)-u(g_1g_2)+u(g_1).
$$

This shows that

$$
Z^1(G,M) = \{u : G \to M \mid u(xy) = x.u(y) + u(x)\}.
$$

In particular, for  $u \in \mathsf{Z}^1(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{M})$ , we have  $\mathsf{u}(1) = 0$  and  $\mathsf{u}(\mathsf{x}^{-1}) = -\mathsf{x}^{-1}\mathsf{u}(\mathsf{x})$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>2.2. **Derived functors.** Consider a complex

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{d} R[G^3] \xrightarrow{d} R[G^2] \xrightarrow{d} R[G^1] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} R \longrightarrow 0
$$

defined as follows. For  $g \in G$ , set  $\varepsilon(g) = 1 \in R$  and extend R-linearly to a map  $\varepsilon : R[G] \to R$ . For  $p \ge 2$  and  $(g_1, \ldots, g_p) \in \mathsf{G}^p$ ,

$$
d(g_1,\ldots,g_p):=\sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j (g_0,\ldots,g_{j-1},g_{j+1},\ldots,g_p).
$$

One checks quickly that  $d \circ d = 0$ . Fix  $s \in G$  and define  $h : R[G^p] \to R[G^{p+1}]$  by  $h(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = (s, g_1, \ldots, g_p)$ . One checks  $d \circ h + h \circ d = 1$ , so the above complex is in fact exact. This is a free solution of the trivial R[G]-module R, called the **bar resolution**.

For each R[G]-module M, applying  $Hom_R(\cdot,M)$  to the bar resolution yields the **standard resolution** of M:

$$
0\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow Hom_R(R[G],M)\longrightarrow Hom_R(R[G^2],M)\longrightarrow\cdots.
$$

We put  $X^p(G, M) = \text{Hom}_R(R[G^{p+1}], M)$   $(p \geqslant 0)$  and let G act on  $X^p(G, M)$  by conjugation:  $(g.f)(x) = g.f(g^{-1}x)$ for  $f \in X^p(G, M)$ ,  $g \in G$ . Define the **homogeneous complex** 

$$
C^p_{hom}(G,M)=X^p(G,M)^G=\text{Hom}_{R[G]}(R[G^{p+1}],M)\qquad (p\geqslant 0).
$$

We then have a sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow M^G\longrightarrow C^0_{hom}(G,M)\longrightarrow C^1_{hom}(G,M)\longrightarrow\cdots
$$

An element  $f \in C^p_{hom}(G, M)$  satisfies  $f(sg_1, \ldots, sg_{p+1}) = sf(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+1})$ , so its values are completely determined by its evaluation on elements of the form  $(1, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_p)$ . We then obtain an isomorphism

$$
\begin{CD} C^p_{hom}(G,M) @>{\simeq}>> C^p_{inhom}(G,M) \\ f @>{\simeq}>> \mathfrak{u}_f \end{CD}
$$

by the formula

$$
\mathfrak{u}_f(g_1,\ldots,g_p)=f(1,g_1,\ldots,g_1\cdots g_p).
$$

By transferring the induced coboundary map on  $C_{\text{hom}}^p(G, M)$  to  $C_{\text{inhom}}^p(G, M)$ , we see it coincides with the previously defined  $\partial$ . In particular, this shows

$$
H^{\bullet}(G, M) \cong H^{\bullet}(C^{\bullet}_{hom}(G, M)) = H^{\bullet}(X^{\bullet}(G, M)^{G}).
$$

Note that since the bar resolution is a free resolution, it follows that  $H^{\bullet}(G,M) \cong Ext^{\bullet}_{R[G]}(R,M)$  as well. Since  $\text{Hom}_{R[G]}(\mathsf{R},\mathsf{M})\cong\mathsf{M}^G$  functorially, we further see that  $\mathsf{H}^\bullet(\mathsf{G},\cdot)\cong\mathsf{R}^\bullet(\cdot)^G$ , the right derived functor of  $(\cdot)^G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** The R[G]-module  $X^p(G, M)$   $(p \ge 0)$  is  $(\cdot)^G$ -acyclic.

*Proof.* Note that  $X^0(G, X^{p-1}(G, M)) \cong X^p(G, M)$   $(p \geq 1)$  as R[G]-modules. Explicitly, the maps

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Hom}_{R}(R[G], \text{Hom}_{R}(R[G^{p}], M)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{R}(R[G^{p+1}], M) \\
\uparrow & \longrightarrow & \text{f}_{T} : (g, g_{1}, \dots, g_{p}) \mapsto T(g)(g_{1}, \dots, g_{p}) \\
\uparrow_{f} : g \mapsto [(g_{1}, \dots, g_{p}) \mapsto f(g, g_{1}, \dots, g_{p})] & \longleftarrow & \text{f}\n\end{array}
$$

are  $R[G]$ -isomorphism. For  $x \in G$ ,

$$
(\mathbf{x}.f_{T})(g,g_{1},...,g_{p}) = \mathbf{x}.f_{T}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}g,\mathbf{x}^{-1}g_{1},..., \mathbf{x}^{-1}g_{p}) = \mathbf{x}.T(\mathbf{x}^{-1}g)(\mathbf{x}^{-1}g_{1},..., \mathbf{x}^{-1}g_{p})
$$
  
= (\mathbf{x}.T(\mathbf{x}^{-1}g))(g\_{1},..., g\_{p}) = ((\mathbf{x}.T)(g))(g\_{1},..., g\_{p}) = f\_{\mathbf{x}.T}(g,g\_{1},..., g\_{p})

so  $T \mapsto f_T$  is G-equivariant. It is clear that the maps are inverse to each other. Hence to show the lemma it suffices to show the R[G]-module  $\text{Hom}_R(R[G],M)$  is  $(\cdot)^G$ -acyclic.

Observe that for any R[G]-module N, we have an R-isomorphism

 $Hom_R(N,M)$   $\longrightarrow$   $Hom_{R[G]}(N, Hom_R(R[G],M))$  $\varphi \longmapsto n \mapsto [g \mapsto g.\varphi(g^{-1}n)]$  $n \mapsto \phi(n)(1_G) \longleftarrow \qquad \qquad \rightarrow \phi,$ 

In particular,  $X^{\bullet}(G, M) \cong C^{\bullet}_{hom}(G, Hom_R(R[G], M))$  as R-modules. Furthermore, it is an isomorphism of complexes. Hence

$$
R^p(\cdot)^G(\text{Hom}_R(R[G],M))\cong H^p(G,\text{Hom}_R(R[G],M))\cong H^p(C^\bullet_{\text{hom}}(G,\text{Hom}_R(R[G],M)))\cong H^p(X^\bullet(G,M))=0
$$

for  $p \ge 1$ . This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Corollary 2.1.1.** Let M be an arbitrary R[G]-module.

- (i) The standard resolution  $X^{\bullet}(G, M)$  is a  $(\cdot)^{G}$ -acyclic resolution of M.
- (ii) The module  $Hom_R(R[G], M)$  is acyclic.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>2.3. **Cohomology of cyclic groups.** Let R be a unital ring, let  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  be a finite cyclic group with order n. Let  ${\rm Tr}=1+\sigma+\cdots+\sigma^{n-1}\in \mathbb{Z}[{\sf G}];$  then  $(1-\sigma){\rm Tr}={\rm Tr}(1-\sigma)=0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[{\sf G}].$  The complex

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\quad Tr \quad} R[G] \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} R[G] \xrightarrow{\quad Tr \quad} R[G] \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} R[G] \xrightarrow{\quad \epsilon \quad} R \xrightarrow{\quad} 0.
$$

is then a free resolution of R as a R[G]-module. This shows  $H^p(G,M) = H^{p+2}(G,M)$  for all  $p \geqslant 1$ .

Assume  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$  is an infinite cyclic group. There is an isomorphism

 $Z^1(G,M)$   $\longrightarrow M$  $u \longmapsto u(\sigma).$ 

Also, for  $m \geq 1$ , we have  $1 - \sigma^m = (1 - \sigma)(1 + \cdots + \sigma^{m-1})$ , implying that the image of  $B^1(G, M)$  under the above isomorphism is  $(1 - \sigma)M$  for all G-modules M. This shows

$$
H^1(G, M) \cong M/(1 - \sigma)M.
$$

For the higher, consider the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow R[G] \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} R[G] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R \longrightarrow 0.
$$

This is a free resolution of R as R[G]-modules, so H<sup>p</sup>(G, M) = 0 for  $p \ge 2$ . This also recovers the above interpretation of  $H<sup>1</sup>$  abstractly.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>2.4. **Functoriality.** Let  $\varphi : H \to G$  be a group homomorphism. Let M be an R[G]-module, which can be viewed as an R[H]-module via φ, and we denote it by M<sup>φ</sup>. We have an inclusion  $\mathsf{M}^\mathsf{G}\subseteq (\mathsf{M}^\phi)^\mathsf{H}$ . By universality of derived functors, this extends uniquely to maps between higher cohomology modules<sup>1</sup>

 $(\varphi^*)^p : H^p(G, M) \longrightarrow H^p(H, M^{\varphi}).$ 

If  $\varphi : H \to G$  is an inclusion, write  $M^{\varphi} = Res_H^G M = M$ . In this case, we call  $(\varphi^*)^p$  the **restriction**, and write it as

> res p  $_{G|H}^{p}$ : H<sup>p</sup>(G, M)  $\longrightarrow H^{p}(H, M).$

Let  $\phi : M \to N$  be an R[G]-homomorphism. It restricts to a map  $\phi : M^G \to N^G$ , so by universality it induces uniquely to maps between higher cohomology modules

 $\varphi_*^p : H^p(G, M) \longrightarrow H^p(G, N)$ 

Let  $H \le G$ , and for  $g \in G$  put  $H^g := g^{-1}Hg \le G$ . Let M be an R[G]-module. The conjugation  $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ restricts to a group isomorphism H<sup>g</sup>  $\stackrel{\sim}{\to}$  H which makes M the R[H<sup>g</sup>] module M<sup>g</sup> by g<sup>-1</sup>hg.m := hm. This induces a map H $^{\bullet}$ (H,M)  $\to$  H $^{\bullet}$ (H $^g$ ,M $^g$ ). The map M $^g$   $\to$  M given by m  $\mapsto$  g $^{-1}$ m is H $^g$ -equivariant, where

<span id="page-4-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Maps obtained in this way automatically commute with the connecting homomorphisms of cohomology groups. The same remark holds for all maps obtained in this way. Concisely speaking, these are called the morphisms of δ-functors.

H<sup>9</sup> acts on M since H<sup>9</sup>  $\leq$  G. This then induces a map H<sup>•</sup>(H<sup>9</sup>, M<sup>9</sup>)  $\rightarrow$  H<sup>•</sup>(H<sup>9</sup>, M). They are in fact both isomorphisms, and their composition gives

conj<sub>g</sub>: H<sup>•</sup>(H, M)  $\xrightarrow{\sim}$  H<sup>•</sup>(H<sup>g</sup>, M).

This is called the **conjugation by** g.

### **Lemma 2.2.**

- (i) The conjugation  $\text{conj}_g : H^{\bullet}(G, M) \to H^{\bullet}(G, M)$  is the identity map for all  $g \in G$
- (ii) The image  $res_{G|H} : H^{\bullet}(G, M) \to H^{\bullet}(H, M)$  lies in  $H^{\bullet}(H, M)^G$ .
- (iii) The composition

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{H},\mathrm{M})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{conj}_g}\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{H}^g,\mathrm{M})\xrightarrow{\mathrm{conj}_h}\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}((\mathrm{H}^g)^h,\mathrm{M})
$$

is the map  $\mathrm{conj}_{\mathrm{gh}}: \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathrm{H},\mathrm{M}) \to \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{gh}},\mathrm{M}).$ 

*Proof.* For (i) and (iii), by the universality it suffices to check the degree 0 map. This is clear. (ii) is a direct  $\Box$ computation.  $\Box$ 

By (iii), we see G acts on the cohomology H $^{\bullet}$  (H, M). If H is normal, by (i) we have H $^{\bullet}$  (H, M)  $^G$  = H $^{\bullet}$  (H, M)  $^{G/H}$ . Hence by (ii) the restriction can be viewed as a map

> res p  $_{G\mid H}^{p}:H^{p}(G,M)\longrightarrow H^{p}(H,M)^{G/H}$

in the case  $H \triangleleft G$ .

Suppose H $\trianglelefteq$ G is a normal subgroup. Then M<sup>H</sup> is naturally an R[G/H]-module. If we denote by  $\pi$  : G  $\rightarrow$  G/H the quotient map, then we have the **inflation**

$$
\textnormal{inf}_{\mathsf{G}|\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{p}}\colon \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{p}}(\mathsf{G}/\mathsf{H},\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{H}}) \xrightarrow{(\pi_{\mathsf{F}})^{\mathsf{p}}} \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{p}}(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{H}}) \xrightarrow{\hspace{0.5cm} \mathsf{H}^{\mathsf{p}}(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{M})}
$$

Suppose  $H \le G$  has finite index. Let  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a system of representatives of H\G. We then have the norm  $N_{\text{G|H}} = \sum_{n=1}^{n}$  $\sum_{i=1}$   $g_i \in R[G]$ , and hence a map  $M^H \to M^G$  defined by  $m \mapsto N_{G|H}m$ . By universality, this extends uniquely to maps between cohomology

> cores p  $G_{\vert H}^{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathrm{H}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathsf{H},\mathsf{M}) \xrightarrow{\hspace*{1.5cm}\mathsf{H}^{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{M})$

called the **corestriction**. Here is a subtlety. We must show the functor  $M \rightarrow H^p(H,M)$  is a universal  $\delta$ -functor on the category of G-modules, and we prove it is erasable<sup>2</sup>. We have a bijection of sets H  $\times$  H $\backslash$ G  $\rightarrow$  G given by  $(h, g_i) \mapsto h g_i$ . Then

$$
Hom_R(R[G],M)\cong Hom_{Set}(G,M)\cong Hom_{Set}(H\times H\backslash G,M)\cong Hom_{Set}(H,Hom_{Set}(H\backslash G,M))
$$

 $\cong$  Hom<sub>R</sub>(R[H], Hom<sub>Set</sub>(G/H, M))

as R[H]-modules (H acts on H  $\times$  H $\setminus$ G from the left), and such module is acyclic by [Corollary 2.1.1.\(ii\)](#page-4-3). Also, the map  $\mathfrak{m}\mapsto\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{G}|\mathsf{H}}\mathfrak{m}$  on  $\mathsf{M}^\mathsf{H}$  is independent of the choice of representatives, so we can write it as  $\mathfrak{m}\mapsto-\sum$  $g \in H \backslash G$ gm.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** Let R be a unital ring and M an R[G]-module.

- (i) Let  $H \le G$  have finite index. Then cores<sub>GIH</sub>  $\circ$  res<sub>GIH</sub> = [G : H].
- (ii) Suppose # $G < \infty$ . If # $G \in R^{\times}$ , then  $H^p(G, M) = 0$  for  $p \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{g_i\}$  be as above. Then for  $m\in M^G$ , clearly  $N_{G|H}$   $m=[G:H]$ m. This shows cores $^0_{G|H}\circ\mathrm{res}^0_{G|H}=[G:H]$ H], the multiplication by [G : H]. It then follows from universality that (i) holds for the higher. (ii) follows from taking H  $= \{1\}$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>²Effaceable in Hartshorne.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>2.5. **Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.** Let H*✂*G and let M be an R[G]-module. Consider the first quadrant double complex  $X^p(G/H, X^q(G,M)^H)^{G/H}$ . The sign convention is that we twist the vertical differentials by  $(-1)^p$ . Then the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence is the resulting spectral sequence

$$
E_2^{p,q} \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\text{Tot}^{\bullet}(X^{\bullet}(G/H, X^{\bullet}(G,M)^H)^{G/H}).
$$

We compute  $E_2^{p,q}$  and the limit term. By definition,

$$
E_2^{p,q} = H^p(H^q(X^{\bullet}(G/H, X^{\bullet}(G,M)^H)^{G/H})).
$$

**Lemma 2.4.** The functor  $M \mapsto X^p(G,M)^G$  is exact.

*Proof.* This follows from [Corollary 2.1.1.\(i\).](#page-4-3) □

In particular, applying this lemma to the group  $G/H$ , we see

$$
H^{q}(X^{p}(G/H, X^{\bullet}(G,M)^{H})^{G/H}) = X^{p}(H^{q}(X^{\bullet}(G,M)^{G/H}))^{G/H} = X^{p}(H^{q}(H,M))^{G/H}
$$

and hence  $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G/H, H^q(H, M))$ . The limit term is computed via the transpose complex. By [Corollary](#page-4-3)  $2.1.1$ .(i) again, we have

$$
H^{p}(X^{\bullet}(X^{q}(G,M)^{H})^{G/H}) = \begin{cases} X^{q}(G,M)^{G} & \text{, if } p = 0 \\ 0 & \text{, if } p \geqslant 1. \end{cases}
$$

This shows

$$
H^{p+q}(\text{Tot}^{\bullet}(X^{\bullet}(G/H,X^{\bullet}(G,M)^{H})^{G/H})=H^{p+q}(G,M).
$$

Hence the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence now takes the form

$$
E_2^{p,q}=H^p(G/H,H^q(H,M))\Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G,M)
$$

### <span id="page-6-1"></span>2.6. **Inflation-restriction exact sequence.**

**Lemma 2.5.** Consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

- (1) The edge map  $H^p(G/H, M^H) = E_2^{p,0} \to H^p(G, M)$  coincides with the inflation inf<sup>p</sup><sub>G|H</sub>.
- (2) The edge map  $H^q(G, M) \to E_2^{0,q} = H^q(H, M)^{G/H}$  coincides with the restriction res<sup>q</sup> 'ч<br>'G|Н∙

*Proof.* See [[Mac95](#page-27-5), Chapter XI 9. 10.] and [[NSW13,](#page-27-6) Chapter II §4]. □

Consider its associated five term exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow E_2^{1,0} \longrightarrow H^1(G,M) \longrightarrow E_2^{0,1} \longrightarrow E_2^{2,0} \longrightarrow H^2(G,M)
$$
  
\n
$$
\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel
$$
  
\n
$$
H^1(G/H,M^H) \longrightarrow H^1(H,M)^{G/H} \longrightarrow H^2(G/H,M^H)
$$

It follows from the previous lemma that the second and the last arrows are inflations, and the third arrow is the restriction. In other words, we have the so-called **inflation-restriction exact sequence**

$$
0\longrightarrow H^1(G/H,M^H)\stackrel{\inf_{G\mid H}^1}{\longrightarrow} H^1(G,M)\stackrel{\text{res}^1_{G\mid H} }{\longrightarrow} H^1(H,M)^{G/H}\longrightarrow H^2(G/H,M^H)\stackrel{\inf_{G\mid H}^2}{\longrightarrow} H^2(G,M).
$$

The fourth arrow is called the **transgression**, and it is given by the differential of the E<sub>2</sub> page of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. For a later use, we mention some application.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Lemma 2.6.** Let G be a group,  $H \le G$  a subgroup of finite index and  $K \le G$  a finite normal subgroup. Let R be a unital ring such that #K  $\in$  R $^{\times}$ , and let V be an R[H]-module. Suppose either K  $\cap$  H  $=$   $\{1_G\}$ , or K  $\subseteq$  H and K acts on V trivially. Then the inflation induces an isomorphism

 $\inf_{\mathsf{G}|\mathsf{K}}:\mathsf{H}^1(\mathsf{G}/\mathsf{K},\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathsf{H}/\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{G}/\mathsf{K}}\mathsf{V})\longrightarrow \mathsf{H}^1(\mathsf{G},\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{G}}\mathsf{V})\ .$ 

Here H/K means  $H/K \cap H \subseteq G/K$ , and the induced module is defined in the next subsection.

*Proof.* The assumption implies V is naturally an R[H/K]-module. If K  $\cap$  H = {1<sub>G</sub>}, H  $\cong$  H/K and (Ind $_G^G$ V)<sup>K</sup>  $\cong$ Ind ${}_{H/K}^{G/K}$  V. If K  $\subseteq$  H and K acts on V trivially, then  $(Ind_H^G V)^K \cong Ind_{H/K}^{G/K} V$ . The displayed isomorphism now follows from the inflation-restriction exact sequence and [Lemma 2.3.\(ii\)](#page-5-1).

□

Similarly, the same proof gives

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Lemma 2.7.** Let G be a group,  $H \le G$  and let  $K \le G$  be a finite normal subgroup. Let R be a unital ring with #K  $\in$  R $^\times$ , and let M be an R[G]-module. Suppose either K  $\subseteq$  H and K acts on M trivially, or K  $\cap$  H  $=$   $\{1_G\}.$  Then the inflation induces an isomorphism

 $\inf_{H \mid H \cap K} : H^1(H/K, M) \longrightarrow H^1(H, M).$ 

Here H/K means  $H/K \cap H \subseteq G/K$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>2.7. **Shapiro's lemma.** Let  $H \le G$ . For any R[H]-module M, define the **induced module** 

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{G}}\mathsf{M}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{R}[\mathsf{H}]}(\mathsf{R}[\mathsf{G}],\mathsf{M}),
$$

For  $f \in Ind_H^G M$  and  $x \in G$ , define  $x.f \in Ind_H^G$  by  $(x.f)(g) = f(gx)$ . Then  $Ind_H^G M$  is an R[G]-module, and clearly,  $M \mapsto \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathsf{G}}_{\mathsf{H}} M$  defines a functor.

#### **Lemma 2.8.**

(i) The functor  $M \mapsto \text{Ind}_{H}^{G} M$  is exact.

(ii) For any R[H]-module M, we have  $Hom_R(R[G], M) \cong Ind_H^G Hom_R(R[H], M)$  as G-modules.

*Proof.* Note that we have functorial isomorphisms

$$
Hom_{R[H]}(R[G],M) \cong \{f:G \to M \mid f(hg) = h.f(g)\} \cong Hom_{\text{Set}}(H\backslash G,M)
$$

of G-modules, where G acts on the last two set by right translation. The functor  $M \rightarrow Hom_{Set}(H \backslash G, M)$  is clearly exact. This shows (i). For (ii), using the bijection  $H \times H/G \rightarrow G$ , we have

$$
\{f:G\rightarrow Hom_{\textbf{Set}}(H,M)\mid f(hg)=hf(g)\}\cong Hom_{\textbf{Set}}(H\backslash G,Hom_{\textbf{Set}}(H,M))\cong Hom_{\textbf{Set}}(H\times H\backslash G,M)
$$

 $\cong$  Hom<sub>Set</sub>(G, M)

.

as G-modules, where G acts on every set above by right translation. Consider the bijection

 $Hom_{Set}(G, M)$   $\longrightarrow Hom_{Set}(G, M)$  $f \longmapsto F_f : g \mapsto g.f(g^{-1})$ 

Here G acts on the domain by conjugation, while acts on the codomain by right translation. For  $x, g \in G$  and  $f: G \rightarrow M$ , compute

$$
F_{x.f}(g) = g.(x.f)(g^{-1}) = gx.f(x^{-1}g^{-1}) = F_f(gx) = (x.F_f)(g).
$$

Hence the two different G-actions on  $\text{Hom}_R(R[G], M)$  are isomorphic. This proves (ii).

The lemma shows that the functors  $M \mapsto H^p(G, \text{Ind}_H^G M)$   $(p \ge 0)$  are erasable, so  $H^{\bullet}(G, \text{Ind}_H^G(\cdot))$  is a universal δ-functor. Consider the functorial bijection

$$
H^{0}(G, Ind_{H}^{G} M) \longrightarrow H^{0}(H, M)
$$
  
 $f \longmapsto f(1).$ 

By the universality, we obtain the so-called **Shapiro's lemma**.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Lemma 2.9.** The canonical bijection  $(Ind_H^G M)^G \cong M^H$  extends uniquely to an isomorphism

 $\sh_{\mathsf{G}|\mathsf{H}}^{\bullet}:\mathsf{H}^{\bullet}(\mathsf{G},\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathsf{G}}\mathsf{M})\longrightarrow \mathsf{H}^{\bullet}(\mathsf{H},\mathsf{M}).$ 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>2.8. **Mackey's theory.** Let H  $\leq$  G. For an R[G]-module M, let Res ${}_{\rm H}^{\rm G}$ M denote the module M viewed as an  $R[H]$ -module. Let  $K \le G$  be another subgroup. Then if V is an  $R[H]$ -module, there is an  $R[K]$ -isomorphism

$$
\text{Res}^G_K\text{Ind}^G_HV\stackrel{\sim}{\xrightarrow{\hspace*{1cm}}} \prod_{g\in H\backslash G/K}\text{Ind}^K_{K\cap g^{-1}Hg}(\text{Res}^H_{H\cap gKg^{-1}}V)_g
$$

 $\phi : R[G] \to V$  (k  $\mapsto \phi(k)$ )<sub>geH\G/K</sub>

where for 
$$
x \in (\text{Res}_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H V)_{g}
$$
 and  $h \in H$  with  $g^{-1}hg \in K$ ,  $g^{-1}hg.x := h.x$ . Indeed, the last space is

$$
Ind_{K \cap g^{-1}Hg}^K(Res_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H V)_g = Hom_{R[K \cap g^{-1}Hg]}(R[K], (Res_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H V)_g)
$$
  

$$
\cong Hom_{R[gKg^{-1} \cap H]}(R[gKg^{-1}], Res_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H V)
$$

where the last isomorphism is given by conjugation. The bijection

$$
(gKg^{-1} \cap H)\gKg^{-1} \xrightarrow{\qquad} H\HgK
$$

$$
gkg^{-1} \xrightarrow{\qquad} Hgk
$$

implies that we have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}^G_K \operatorname{Ind}^G_H V &\xrightarrow{\quad \sim \quad} \prod_{g\in H\backslash G/K} \operatorname{Hom}_{R[gKg^{-1}\cap H]}(R[gKg^{-1}],\operatorname{Res}^H_{H\cap gKg^{-1}} V)\\ \varphi: R[G] &\to M &\longmapsto (gkg^{-1} \mapsto \varphi(gkg^{-1}))_g \end{aligned}
$$

This proves the claimed isomorphism. Combined with [Shapiro's lemma](#page-8-1), we have

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Lemma 2.10.** Let H,  $K \le G$  and let M be an R[H]-module. Then we have an isomorphism

$$
H^\bullet(K,Ind_H^GV)\cong \prod_{g\in H\backslash G/K}H^\bullet(gKg^{-1}\cap H,V).
$$

For a later use, let V be an R[H]-module, and consider the diagram



<span id="page-8-3"></span>**Lemma 2.11.** The above diagram commutes.

*Proof.* At degree 0, the diagram clearly commutes. Since all maps involved are morphisms of δ-functor, it follows from the universality of  $V \mapsto H^{\bullet}(G, \text{Ind}_{H}^{G} V)$  that the diagram commutes for all degrees.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
C_{hom}^p(G,M) \times C_{hom}^q(G,N) \xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}} C_{hom}^{p+q}(G,M \otimes_R N) \\
 (\mathfrak{u},\nu) \longmapsto (\mathfrak{u} \cup \nu)(g_1,\ldots,g_{p+q+1}) := \mathfrak{u}(g_1,\ldots,g_{p+1}) \otimes \nu(g_{p+1},\ldots,g_{p+q+1}).
$$

It is straightforward to check that

 $\partial(\mathfrak{u} \cup \mathfrak{v}) = (\partial \mathfrak{u}) \cup \mathfrak{v} + (-1)^p \mathfrak{u} \cup (\partial \mathfrak{v}),$ 

so it induces maps on cohomology groups

 $H^p(G, M) \times H^q(G, N) \longrightarrow U^{p+q}(G, M \otimes_R N)$ 

This is called the **cup product**. On the inhomogeneous complex, the pairing becomes

$$
\begin{CD} C_{inhom}^p(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{M})\times C_{inhom}^q(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{N}) @>>> C_{inhom}^{p+q}(\mathsf{G},\mathsf{M}\otimes_R \mathsf{N})\\ (u,v) @>>> (u\cup v)(g_1,\ldots,g_{p+q}):=u(g_1,\ldots,g_p)\otimes g_1\cdots g_p.v(g_{p+1},\ldots,g_{p+q}), \end{CD}
$$

#### **Lemma 2.12.**

- (i) The cup product on H<sup>0</sup> is the natural map  $M^G \times N^G \to (M \otimes_R N)^G$ .
- (ii) The cup product is functorial in  $M$  and  $N$  in an obvious sense.
- (iii) The cup product is super-commutative and associative in an obvious sense.

Suppose L is another R[G]-module, and we have an R[G]-homomorphism  $\varphi : M \otimes_R N \to L$ . Composing with the cup product, we obtain another map

$$
H^{p}(G, M) \times H^{q}(G, N) \xrightarrow{\qquad} H^{p+q}(G, L)
$$

$$
(u, v) \longmapsto \varphi_{*}^{p+q}(u \cup v)
$$

which is sometimes also called the cup product.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>2.10. **Mayer-Vietoris sequence.** We copy the discussion from [\[Bie76\]](#page-27-7). Let G be a group and let M be an R[G] module. A derivation<sup>3</sup> on G is a map d : G  $\rightarrow$  M satisfying  $d(xy) = d(x) + xd(y)$  for  $x, y \in G$ . Such a map extends uniquely to an R-homomorphism  $d : R[G] \to M$  satisfying  $d(xy) = \varepsilon(y)d(x) + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R[G]$ . If we denote by  $Der(G, M)$  the R-module of all derivations on  $G$ , then there is a functorial isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned} Der(G,M) &\xrightarrow{\hspace{3em}} Hom_{R[G]}(I_G,M)\\ d &\longmapsto [g-1\mapsto d(g)]\end{aligned}
$$

where  $I_G = \bigoplus$  $\bigoplus_{g \in G} R(g - 1) \le R[G].$ 

Let X be a set and let F be the free group based on X.

**Lemma 2.13.** The R[F]-submodule I<sub>F</sub> is R[F]-free with a basis  $\{x - 1 | x \in X\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Sigma$  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x(x-1) = 0$ , where  $r_x \in R[G]$  and  $r_x \neq 0$  for finitely many x. Then  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x = \sum_{x \in X}$  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x.$ Suppose  $r_y \neq 0$  for some  $y \in X$ ; pick  $y \in X$  such that it contains an element of maximal length among those elements in F appearing in  $\{r_x \mid r_x \neq 0\}$ . Then the left hand side of the identity contains a strictly longer element, which is absurd. □

<span id="page-9-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This is the same as a 1-cocycle with coefficients in M.

It follows that there is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Der}(G, M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M^X \\
d & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (d(x))_{x \in X}.\n\end{array}
$$

For each  $x \in X$ , define the derivation  $\partial_x : F \to M$  by the formula  $\partial_x(y) = \delta_{xy}$ ,  $y \in F$ . If d : F  $\to M$  is an arbitrary derivation, define  $d': F \to M$  by the formula

$$
d'(w) = \sum_{x \in X} (\partial_x w) d(x), \qquad w \in F.
$$

This is again a derivation, as for  $u, v \in F$ , we have

$$
d'(uv) = \sum_{x \in X} (\partial_x uv) d(x) = \sum_{x \in X} (\partial_x u + u \partial_x v) d(x) = d'(u) + u d'(v).
$$

Since  $d'(x) = d(x)$  for  $x \in X$ , we see  $d = d'$  identically. Applying this identity to the derivation  $d : F \to R[F]$ given by  $g \mapsto g - 1$ , we obtain

$$
w - \varepsilon(w) = \sum_{x \in X} (\partial_x w)(x - 1), \qquad w \in R[F].
$$

Let G be a group with generators  $S \subseteq G$ . Let F be the free group on S and let  $\pi : F \to G$  be the unique map induced from  $S \subseteq G$ . Then for any  $w \in R[G]$ , we have

$$
w-1=\sum_{s\in S}\pi(\partial_s W)(s-1)
$$

where  $W \in R[F]$  is any element satisfies  $\pi(W) = w$ . We now can prove the following

**Lemma 2.14.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two groups and  $G = G_1 * G_2$  be their free product. Then

$$
0 \longrightarrow R[G] \xrightarrow{\alpha} R[G/G_1] \oplus R[G/G_2] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} R \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
x \longmapsto (xG_1, -xG_2)
$$

$$
(xG_1, 0), (0, yG_1) \longmapsto 1
$$

is a short exact sequence. (See [[Bro82,](#page-27-8) p. II.7] for a topological proof.)

*Proof.*  $\varepsilon$  is clearly surjective. Let  $X_i$  be a set of generators of  $G_i$ , F the free group on  $X_1 \cup X_2$  and  $\pi : F \to G$  the projection. Then for  $w \in R[G]$ , we have

$$
w - \varepsilon(w) = \sum_{x \in X_1 \cup X_2} \pi(\partial_x W)(x - 1).
$$

Hence  $wG_i - \varepsilon(wG_i) = \sum_{x \notin X_i} \pi(\partial_x W)(x - 1)G_i$ . Suppose  $w, w' \in R[G]$  satisfy  $\varepsilon(wG_1) = -\varepsilon(w'G_2)$ . Then

$$
\alpha\left(\sum_{x\in X_2}\pi(\partial_xW)(x-1)-\sum_{x\in X_1}\pi(\partial_xW')(x-1)+\epsilon(wG_1)1\right)=(wG_1,-w'G_2)
$$

where W, W' are lifts of w, w' in R[F]. This proves the exactness at the middle. For the injectivity of  $\alpha$ , let  $0 \neq w = \sum_g \mathfrak{a}_g$ g  $\in$  R[G] with  $wG_1 = 0 = wG_2$ . Clearly  $w \notin$  R1; denote by g' the element with  $\mathfrak{a}_{g'} \neq 0$  with maximal length  $l(g')$ . Then  $l(g') > 0$ . Assume, by symmetry, that g' ends with a nontrivial element in  $G_1$ . Since  $\sum_g a_g gG_2 = 0$ , it follows that  $g'G_2 = hG_2$  for some  $h \neq g'$ . But  $g'$  ends with  $G_1$ , we obtain  $g'y = h$  for some  $y \in G_2$ , a contradiction to maximality.  $\Box$ 

#### 12 HAOYUN YAO

Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{R}(\cdot,M)$  to the short exact sequence in the lemma, we obtain the short exact sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow Hom_R(R[G/G_1],M)\oplus Hom_R(R[G/G_2],M)\longrightarrow Hom_R(R[G],M)\longrightarrow 0\ .
$$

Recall that there is an R[G]-isomorphism

$$
Ind_{G_i}^G M \longrightarrow Hom_R(R[G/G_i], M)
$$
  
 $f \longmapsto [gG_i \mapsto g.f(g^{-1})].$ 

Hence the above sequence becomes

$$
0\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow Ind_{G_1}^G M\oplus Ind_{G_2}^G M\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R[G],M)\longrightarrow 0.
$$

Taking cohomology, in view of [Shapiro's lemma](#page-8-1) and [Corollary 2.1.1,](#page-4-3) we obtain

<span id="page-11-2"></span>**Corollary 2.14.1.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be groups and  $G = G_1 * G_2$ . If M is an R[G]-module, then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M^G \longrightarrow M^{G_1} \oplus M^{G_2} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow H^1(G,M) \longrightarrow H^1(G_1,M) \oplus H^1(G_2,M) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
H^p(G,M)\cong H^p(G_1,M)\oplus H^p(G_2,M)\qquad (p\geqslant 2).
$$

The maps  $H^p(G, M) \to H^p(G_1, M) \oplus H^p(G_2, M)$  are given by restrictions. We call these sequences the **Mayer-Vietoris sequences**.

### 3. COHOMOLOGY OF  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>3.1. **Free product.** Recall that  $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the automorphism group of the Poincare half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{R} \leq z \leq \mathbb{R} \}$ Im  $z > 0$ , and  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the discrete subgroup generated by

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Consider the element ST  $=$  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which has order 3 in PSL<sub>2</sub>(Z). Then PSL<sub>2</sub>(Z) is generated by S and ST. In fact,

**Theorem 3.1.** 
$$
PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle S \rangle * \langle ST \rangle \cong \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^3 = 1 \rangle.
$$

*Proof.* The following proof is taken from [[JS97](#page-27-9), §6.8]. Let  $\pi$  :  $\langle x,y\mid x^2=y^3=1\rangle\to \rm{PSL}_2(\Z)$  be the map defined by sending (x, y) to (S, ST). Let W be a nonempty reduced word in x, y. In other words,  $W = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_r$  with  $\gamma_i\in\{x,y,y^{-1}\}$ , and  $\gamma_i,\gamma_{i+1}\notin\{x\}$ ,  $\{y,y^{-1}\}$ . To show the theorem, we must show  $\pi$  is an isomorphism, and it comes down to showing that if W is a nonempty reduced word, then  $\pi(W) \neq 1$  in  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

For this we use some geometry. Consider the following regions

$$
A=\{z\in \mathbb{H}\mid \text{Re}\, z<0\}, \qquad B=\{z\in \mathbb{H}\mid |z+1|>|z|,\, |z+1|>z\},\qquad C=A\cap B
$$

We have  $S(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid \text{Re } z > 0\}$ , so  $S(A) \cap A = \emptyset$  particularly. Also, if  $z \in B$ ,

$$
|\mathsf{ST}z + 1| = \left|\frac{z}{z+1}\right| < 1
$$

so ST(B)  $\cap$  B =  $\varnothing$ . This implies (ST)<sup>-1</sup>B  $\cap$  B =  $\varnothing$  as well. Hence

$$
S(A) \subseteq \mathbb{H} \backslash A \subseteq B, \qquad ST(B) \subseteq \mathbb{H} \backslash B \subseteq A
$$

Let W be a nonempty reduced word. The above relation implies that  $\pi(W)(C)$  is either contained in  $\mathbb{H}\setminus A$  or  $\mathbb{H}\backslash B$ , and hence contained in  $\mathbb{H}\backslash C$ . This implies  $\pi(W) \neq 1$  since  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb H$  faithfully.

For later computational convenience, we do a change of variables. Instead of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle S \rangle * \langle ST \rangle$ , we will use

$$
PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle S \rangle * \langle TS \rangle.
$$

This holds because TS and ST are conjugate (by S). Now we can apply [Mayer-Vietoris sequence](#page-11-2) to compute the group cohomology of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Corollary 3.1.1.** Let M be an  $R[PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})]$ -module. Then we have a long exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M^{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \longrightarrow M^S \oplus M^{TS} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M
$$

and isomorphisms

$$
H^p(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}),M)\cong H^p(\langle S\rangle, M)\oplus H^p(\langle TS\rangle, M)\qquad (p\geqslant 2).
$$

Moreover, the connecting homomorphism  $M \to H^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), M)$  is given by  $\mathfrak{m} \mapsto f_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , where  $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(S) = (1 -$ S)m,  $f_m(TS) = 0$ .

<span id="page-12-2"></span>**Corollary 3.1.2.** Let  $\Gamma \leq PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a subgroup of finite index and R a unital ring in which all periods of elliptic points are invertible. Let V be an R[Γ]-module. Then

$$
H^1(\Gamma, V) = \frac{M}{M^S \oplus M^{TS}}
$$

with  $M = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^{\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$  V and  $H^p(\Gamma, V) = 0$  for all  $p \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* By [Mackey's formula](#page-8-2), we have

$$
H^p(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})_\mathsf{x},Ind_\Gamma^{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\,V)\cong \prod_{g\in \Gamma\backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})\mathsf{x}}H^p(\Gamma_\mathsf{x},V).
$$

By [Lemma 2.3,](#page-5-1) our assumptions imply the last groups are zero. The [Corollary 3.1.1](#page-12-1) now reads

$$
H^1(\Gamma, V) \cong H^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), M) = \frac{M}{M^S \oplus M^{TS}}.
$$

The last assertion follows from another isomorphisms. □

<span id="page-12-0"></span>3.2. **Parabolic group cohomology.** Let  $\Gamma \leq PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a subgroup of finite index and let V an be R[ $\Gamma$ ]-module. We define the **parabolic cohomology**  $H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma, V)$  by the following exact sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow\text{H}^1_{par}(\Gamma,V)\longrightarrow\text{H}^1(\Gamma,V)\stackrel{\text{res}}{\longrightarrow}\prod_{g\in\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb Z)}\text{H}^1(\Gamma\cap\langle gTg^{-1}\rangle,V).
$$

The last group may be replaced by the product of  $H^1(\Gamma_c,V)$  with  $c\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$  Still, if  $c=\gamma c'$  for some  $c,c'\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ and  $\gamma\in\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma_c=\gamma\Gamma_c$ . $\gamma^{-1}$  and the conjugation gives an isomorphism  $H^1(\Gamma_c,V)\cong H^1(\Gamma_{c'},{V}).$  Hence in the exact sequence, it causes no confusion to write

$$
0\longrightarrow H_{par}^1(\Gamma,V)\longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma,V)\stackrel{\text{res}}{\longrightarrow}\prod_{g\in\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\langle T\rangle}H^1(\Gamma\cap\langle gTg^{-1}\rangle,V).
$$

Put  $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . By [Lemma 2.11,](#page-8-3) we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{CD} 0 @>>> H_{par}^1(\Gamma,V) @>>> H^1(\Gamma,V) @>\stackrel{res}\longrightarrow \prod_{g\in \Gamma \backslash PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})/\langle \tau \rangle} H^1(\Gamma \cap \langle gTg^{-1} \rangle,V)\\ & \sh_{G|\Gamma} \Bigg\uparrow @. \\ 0 @>>> H_{par}^1(G,Ind_{\Gamma}^G\,V) @>>> H^1(G,Ind_{\Gamma}^G\,V) @>\stackrel{res}\longrightarrow H^1(\langle T \rangle,Ind_{\Gamma}^G\,V) \end{CD}
$$

Since  $\langle T\rangle\cong \mathbb{Z}$ , the map  $\mathfrak{u}\mapsto \mathfrak{u}(T)$  defines an  $\mathsf{H}^1(\langle T\rangle,\mathsf{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G V)\cong \mathbb{Z}$ Ind $_\Gamma^{\rm G}$  V  $(1 - T) Ind_{\Gamma}^G V$ . Put

$$
V_{\Gamma} = \frac{V}{\text{span}_{R} \{(1 - \gamma)v \mid \gamma \in \Gamma, v \in V\}},
$$

and define  $\Phi: \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathsf{G}}_{\Gamma} \mathsf{V} \to \mathsf{V}_{\Gamma}$  by  $\Phi({\mathsf{f}}) = \sum_{\square}$  $g \in Γ \setminus G$ f(g). Since  $(1 - T)$  Ind<sup>G</sup> V lies in the kernel of  $\Phi$ , it induces

 $\Phi: \mathsf{H}^1(\langle \mathsf{T} \rangle, \mathsf{Ind}_{\mathsf{\Gamma}}^{\mathsf{G}} \mathsf{V}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{\Gamma}}.$ 

By [Corollary 3.1.1](#page-12-1), we can form diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}\n0 & \longrightarrow & H_{par}^1(G, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G V) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & H^1(\langle T \rangle, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G V) \\
 & & & & & & & \\
\parallel & & & & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{par}^1(G, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G V) & \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{M} & & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{par}^1(G, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G V) & \longrightarrow & \xrightarrow{M} & & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
\end{array}
$$

with  $M = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{G}} V$  and  $M_{\mathbb{G}} = \frac{M}{\text{span} \{ (1 - \mathbb{G}) \ln \mathbb{G} \}}$  $\frac{n!}{\text{span}_{R}\{(1-g)\,\text{m} \mid g\in\mathsf{G},\,\text{m}\in\mathsf{M}\}}.$  In fact, the second square is commutative:

$$
f_m(T) = f_m(TSS) = f_m(TS) + TSf_m(S) = TS(1 - S)m \equiv S(1 - S)m = (S - 1)m.
$$

Also, the map Φ just introduced defines a map Φ :  $M_G \to V_F$ . In fact, it is an isomorphism. To see this, define  $\Psi: V \to M$  by  $\Psi(v)(g) = \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma}(g)v$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , compute  $\Psi(v)(g) - \Psi(\gamma v)(g) = gv - gyv = (1 - \gamma)\Psi(v)(g)$ , so that Ψ defines a map  $\Psi: V_{\Gamma} \to M_G$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  is the identity. We claim Ψ is surjective, so Ψ is a bijection, proving Φ is a bijection as well. Indeed, for f  $\in$   $\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{G}}$ , we have f  $=\ \, \sum$  $g \in Γ \backslash G$  $g^{-1}\Psi(f(g))$ , as

$$
\sum_{g\in\Gamma\backslash G}\left(g^{-1}\Psi(f(g))\right)(x)=\sum_{g\in\Gamma\backslash G}\Psi(f(g))(xg^{-1})=xg_x^{-1}f(g_x)=x(xg_x^{-1}g_x)=f(x)
$$

where  $q_x \in G$  with  $\Gamma x = \Gamma q_x$ . But then

$$
f=\sum_{g\in \Gamma\backslash G}g^{-1}\Psi(f(g))=\sum_{g\in \Gamma\backslash G}\Psi(f(g))-\sum_{g\in \Gamma\backslash G}(1-g^{-1})\Psi(f(g))\in Im\,\Psi\text{ in }M_G
$$

showing the surjectivity. Now we conclude that

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Lemma 3.2.** The sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow H^1_{par}(G,Ind_{\Gamma}^G V)\longrightarrow H^1(G,Ind_{\Gamma}^G V)\stackrel{res}\longrightarrow H^1(\langle T\rangle,Ind_{\Gamma}^G V)\stackrel{\Phi}\longrightarrow V_{\Gamma}\longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact.

**Remark.** I don't know a direct algebraic proof of this exact sequence without the explicit formula for  $m \mapsto f_m$ . Yet, see [[Shi71](#page-27-10), Proposition 8.2] and [\[Hid06](#page-27-11), Proposition 6.1.1] for a proof using simplicial cohomology.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>3.3. **Dimension formulae.** Let  $\Gamma \le SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a congruence subgroup,  $k \ge 2$  and  $V = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}$ . If  $-I \in \Gamma$ , we assume k is even. The goal of this subsection is the compute

$$
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(\Gamma,V), \qquad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(\Gamma,V) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma,V).
$$

Note that by [Lemma 2.7,](#page-7-1) we can freely replace  $\Gamma$  by its image in  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  without altering the cohomology groups. In the following we simply write dim for dimension over C.

Put  $M = Ind_{\Gamma}^{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})}$  V. On applying  $dim_R$  to the isomorphism in [Corollary 3.1.2,](#page-12-2) we obtain

$$
\dim H^1(\Gamma, V) = \dim M - \dim M^S - \dim_R M^{TS} + \dim M^{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})}
$$

$$
= \dim M - \dim M^S - \dim M^{TS} + \dim V^{\Gamma},
$$

where  $M = Ind_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} V$ . By [Mackey's formula,](#page-8-2) we have

$$
\dim \mathsf{M}^S = \sum_{g \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) / \langle S \rangle} \dim V^{\langle g S g^{-1} \rangle \cap \Gamma} = \sum_{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) i} \dim V^{\Gamma_x} \\\dim \mathsf{M}^{\mathrm{TS}} = \sum_{g \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) / \langle T S \rangle} \dim V^{\langle g T S g^{-1} \rangle \cap \Gamma} = \sum_{x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mu_6} \dim V^{\Gamma_x}
$$

By [Lemma 3.2,](#page-13-0) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\dim\operatorname{\mathsf{H}}^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma,V)&=\dim\operatorname{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Gamma,V)+\dim_RV_\Gamma-\dim\operatorname{\mathsf{H}}^1(\langle\mathsf{T}\rangle,\operatorname{Ind}^G_\Gamma V)\\&=\dim\operatorname{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Gamma,V)+\dim V_\Gamma-\sum_{g\in\Gamma\backslash\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\langle\mathsf{T}\rangle}\operatorname{\mathsf{H}}^1(\Gamma\cap\langle g\mathsf{T} g^{-1}\rangle,V)\end{aligned}
$$

We now specialize to the representation  $V = R[X, Y]_{k-2}$ .

<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $n, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ . Suppose R is a unital ring with  $n!N \in R^{\times}$ .

- (i)  $R[X, Y]_n^{n(N)} = RX^n$  and  $R[X, Y]_n^{n(N)^t} = RY^n$ (ii)  $(R[X, Y]_n)_{n(N)} = \frac{R[X, Y]_n}{P[X, Y]}$  $R[X, Y]_n$ <br> $R X^n \oplus \cdots \oplus R X Y^{n-1}$  and  $(R[X, Y]_n)_{n(N)^t} = \frac{R[X, Y]_n}{R Y^n \oplus \cdots \oplus R}$  $RY^n \oplus \cdots \oplus RX^{n-1}Y$ .
- (iii)  $R[X, Y]_n^{\Gamma(N)} = 0 = (R[X, Y]_n)_{\Gamma(N)}$  if R is a field of characteristic 0.

*Proof.* We have  $(n(N)-1)$ . $X^iY^{n-i} = X^i(NX+Y)^{n-i} - X^iY^{n-i} =$  $\sum^{n-i}$  $k=1$  $N^k \binom{n-i}{i}$ k  $\int \chi^{i+k} \gamma^{n-i-k}$ . With this formula it is direct to see (i) and (ii) hold. (iii) follows as Γ (N) contains both **n**(N) and **n**(N) t . □

From the lemma we then have

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}\right)_\Gamma=0=\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}^\Gamma
$$

so

$$
\dim H^1(\Gamma,\mathsf{R}[X,Y]_{k-2}) = \dim M - \dim M^S - \dim M^{TS} + \delta_{2,k}
$$
\n
$$
\dim H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) = \dim H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) + \delta_{2,k} - \sum_{g \in \Gamma \backslash \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) / \langle T \rangle} H^1(\Gamma \cap \langle g \mathsf{T} g^{-1} \rangle, \mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})
$$

<span id="page-14-2"></span>**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(N)$ ,  $N \ge 3$ .

- (i) dim H<sup>1</sup>(Γ, C[X, Y]<sub>k-2</sub>) = (k 1)  $\frac{[SL_2(\mathbb{Z}): \Gamma(N)]}{12} + \delta_{2,k} = \dim M_k(\Gamma(N)) + \dim S_k(\Gamma(N)).$
- (ii)  $\dim M_k(\Gamma) \dim S_k(\Gamma) = \dim H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}) \dim H^1_{par}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}).$

*Proof.* Γ is torsion free by our assumption, so

$$
\dim M^S = (k-1) \# (\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\mathfrak{i}) = \frac{(k-1)[\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(N)]}{2}
$$

$$
\dim M^{TS} = (k-1) \# (\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\mu_6) = \frac{(k-1)[\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(N)]}{3}.
$$

Also, dim  $M = (k - 1)[PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(N)]$ . We conclude that

dim H<sup>1</sup>(
$$
\Gamma
$$
(N),  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}$ ) =  $\frac{(k-1)[SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(N)]}{12} + \delta_{2,k}$ .

By [[DS05,](#page-27-2) §3.9], the last equality holds. This proves (i).

For (ii), from [\[DS05](#page-27-2), §3.5-6], we see LHS equals  $\varepsilon_{\infty} - \delta_{2,k}$ . Recall that all cusps of Γ(N) are regular, so Γ  $\cap$  $\langle \mathsf{g}\mathsf{T}\mathsf{g}^{-1}\rangle$   $=$   $\langle \mathsf{g}\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{r}}\mathsf{g}^{-1}\rangle$  (for some r). Hence by [Lemma 3.3](#page-14-1) we have

$$
\dim H^1(\Gamma\cap\langle gTg^{-1}\rangle,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})=\dim(\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})_{gT^rg^{-1}}=1.
$$

The proof of (ii) is then completed in view of the formula

$$
\dim \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})-\dim \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{par}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})=\sum_{g\in \Gamma\backslash\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\langle T\rangle}\mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma\cap\langle gTg^{-1}\rangle,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})-\delta_{2,k}.
$$

#### 4. EICHLER-SHIMURA ISOMORPHISM

<span id="page-15-0"></span>For a map  $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$  and  $g \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ , denote  $f|_k g : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$
f|_{k}g(\tau)=(\det g)^{k-1}j(g,\tau)^{-k}f(g.\tau),\qquad \tau\in\mathbb{H}.
$$

This is of course the same notation as  $f[g]_k$  used in the course.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>4.1. **Eichler-Shimura map.** Let  $\Gamma \le SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a congruence subgroup. For  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$  with  $k \geq 2$ , consider the integral

$$
\begin{aligned} I_f(gz_0,hz_0)&=\int_{gz_0}^{hz_0}f(z)(Xz+Y)^{k-2}dz&\in\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}\\ J_{\overline{f}}(gz_1,hz_1)&=\int_{gz_1}^{hz_1}\overline{f(z)}(X\overline{z}+Y)^{k-2}d\overline{z}&\in\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}. \end{aligned}
$$

where g,  $h\in GL_2(\R)^+$ . Since f is holomorphic, the integrals are independent of the choice of paths in  $\Bbb H$ .

<span id="page-15-2"></span>**Lemma 4.1.** For g,  $h \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})^+$ , we have

$$
I_f(z_0, ghz_0) = I_f(z_0, gz_0) + I_f(gz_0, ghz_0)
$$
  

$$
I_f(gz_0, ghz_0) = det(g)^{2-k} g.(I_{f|_k g}(z_0, hz_0))
$$

where we let  $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}$  by right translation.

*Proof.* The first is clear, and for the second

$$
\begin{aligned} I_f(gz_0,ghz_0) &= \int_{gz_0}^{ghz_0} f(z)(Xz+Y)^{k-2} dz = \int_{z_0}^{hz_0} f(g.z)(Xg.z+Y)^{k-2} \frac{d(g.z)}{dz} dz \\ &= \int_{z_0}^{hz_0} f(g.z)g.(Xz+Y)^{k-2} j(g,z)^{-k} \det g dz \end{aligned}
$$

which is exactly what we want.

Since we are assuming  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ , so for  $g \in \Gamma$ ,  $h \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  we have

$$
I_f(z_0, ghz_0) = I_f(z_0, gz_0) + g.I_f(z_0, hz_0).
$$

 $\Box$ 

□

This shows  $\Gamma \ni g \mapsto I_f(z_0, gz_0)$  defines an element in  $\mathsf{Z}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})$ . If  $z_1$  is another point on  $\mathbb{H}$ , take  $\gamma \in$  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\gamma z_1 = z_0$  and compute

$$
I_f(z_1, gz_1) = I_f(\gamma z_0, g\gamma z_0) = I_f(z_0, g\gamma z_0) - I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0)
$$
  
=  $I_f(z_0, gz_0) + g.I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0) - I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0)$   
=  $I_f(z_0, gz_0) + (g - 1)I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0).$ 

Hence different choices of  $z_0$  differ the integral by an element in  $\rm B^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})$ , so we obtain a well-defined C-linear map

$$
\begin{aligned} M_k(\Gamma) &\xrightarrow{\quad} H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})\\ f &\longmapsto [g &\mapsto I_f(z_0,gz_0)] \end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have a conjugate-linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}\nM_k(\Gamma) &\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \\
f &\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} [g &\mapsto J_{\overline{f}}(z_1,gz_1)]\n\end{aligned}
$$

.

They together define the so-called **Eichler-Shimura map**

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathrm{ES}_\Gamma: M_k(\Gamma)\oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } \quad H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \\
\hline\n(i,\overline{g}) & \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } \quad [\gamma\mapsto I_f(z_0,\gamma z_0)+J_{\overline{g}}(z_1,\gamma z_1)].\n\end{array}
$$

<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Lemma 4.2.** The induced map

$$
M_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\quad \ \ sh_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})|\Gamma}^{\,-} \circ ES_{\Gamma} \quad} H^1(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),Ind_{\Gamma}^{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})}\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}).
$$

is given by

$$
\left(sh_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})|\Gamma}^{-1}\circ ES_{\Gamma}\right)(f,\overline{g})(\gamma)(\gamma')=[I_f(\gamma'z_0,\gamma'\gamma z_0)+J_{\overline{g}}(\gamma'z_1,\gamma'\gamma z_1)],
$$

where  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{H}$  are any fixed points.

*Proof.* First, we show  $\gamma' \mapsto I_f(\gamma' z_0, \gamma' \gamma z_0) + I_{\overline{g}}(\gamma' z_1, \gamma' \gamma z_1)$  lies in the induced module. This follows from the first identity in [Lemma 4.1.](#page-15-2) Next, we show  $\gamma \mapsto [\gamma' \mapsto I_f(\gamma' z_0, \gamma' \gamma z_0) + I_{\overline{g}}(\gamma' z_1, \gamma' \gamma z_1)]$  is a 1-cocycle. Put  $\varphi(\gamma): \gamma' \mapsto I_f(\gamma'z_0, \gamma'\gamma z_0)$ . By [Lemma 4.1](#page-15-2) again, for  $\gamma', \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \varphi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(\gamma')&=I_f(\gamma'z_0,\gamma'\gamma_1\gamma_2z_0)=I_f(\gamma'z_0,\gamma'\gamma_1z_0)+I_f(\gamma'\gamma_1z_0,\gamma'\gamma_1\gamma_2z_0)\\ &=\varphi(\gamma_1)(\gamma')+\varphi(\gamma_2)(\gamma'\gamma_1)=(\varphi(\gamma_1)+\gamma_1.\varphi(\gamma_2))\,(\gamma'). \end{aligned}
$$

Finally, from the definition of  $\mathrm{sh}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})|\Gamma}$ , we see  $\mathrm{sh}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})|\Gamma}^{-1}\circ\mathrm{ES}_\Gamma$  is given by the displayed formula.  $\hfill\Box$ 

For a path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  and a function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , one has

$$
\overline{\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz} = \overline{\int_{0}^{1} f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt} = \int_{0}^{1} \overline{f}(\gamma(t))(\overline{\gamma})'(t)dt = \int_{\gamma} \overline{f(z)}d\overline{z}.
$$

This implies  $I_f(gz_0, hz_0) = J_{\overline{f}}(gz_0, hz_0)$ , where we let complex conjugation act on  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}$  by acting coefficients. Define

$$
rES_{\Gamma}: S_{k}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}[X, Y]_{k-2})
$$

$$
f \longrightarrow [\gamma \mapsto \text{Re}\left(I_{f}(z_{0}, \gamma z_{0})\right)].
$$

Since Re( $I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0)$ ) =  $\frac{I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0) + J_{\overline{f}}(z_0, \gamma z_0)}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , we see rES<sub>Γ</sub> is twice the composition  $S_k(\Gamma) \longrightarrow S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ES}_{\Gamma}} H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})$  $f \longmapsto (f, \overline{f}).$ 

where we use the identification described in the following lemma, which follows from the flatness of  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be a group and M be an  $\mathbb{R}[G]$ -module. The natural map  $H^p(G, M) \to H^p(G, M_C)$  is injective, and induces an isomorphism  $H^p(G,M)_\mathbb{C} \cong H^p(G,M_\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that the inclusion  $C_{\text{inhom}}^p(G, M) \to C_{\text{inhom}}^p(G, M_{\mathbb{C}})$  induces an isomorphism  $C_{\text{inhom}}^p(G, M)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong$  $C_{\text{inhom}}^p(G, M_{\mathbb{C}})$ . It is also clear that  $Z^p(G, M)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong Z^p(G, M_{\mathbb{C}})$ . For injectivity, it suffices to show  $Z^p(G, M) \cap Z^p(G, M)$  $B^p(G, M_{\mathbb{C}}) = B^p(G, M_{\mathbb{C}})$ , since it will imply  $B^p(G, M)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong B^p(G, M)$ . For  $f \in C^{p-1}(G, M_{\mathbb{C}})$ , if  $\partial f \in C^p(G, M)$ , then  $\partial f = \overline{\partial f} = \partial \overline{f}$ . Then  $\frac{f+f}{2} \in C^{p-1}(G,M)$  is mapped to  $\frac{\partial f + \partial f}{2} = \partial f$ . The last statement now follows from the exactness of  $(\cdot) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ .

<span id="page-17-2"></span>**Lemma 4.4.** ES<sub>Γ</sub> is twice the complexification of  $rES<sub>Γ</sub>$ . Precisely, the composition

$$
S_{k}(\Gamma)\otimes \overline{S_{k}(\Gamma)}\xrightarrow{\sim} S_{k}(\Gamma)_{\mathbb{C}}\xrightarrow{2\cdot r\to r\otimes id_{\mathbb{C}}} H^{1}(\Gamma,\mathbb{R}[X,Y]_{k-2})_{\mathbb{C}}\xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})
$$

coincides with  $ES_\Gamma: S_k(\Gamma)\oplus S_k(\Gamma)\to H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}).$  Similarly, the map in [Lemma 4.2](#page-16-0) is twice the complexification of  $\mathrm{sh}^{-1}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})|\Gamma} \circ \mathrm{rES}_\Gamma.$ 

*Proof.* This follows from the above discussion.

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>4.2. **Land in parabolic cohomology.**

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Theorem 4.5.** The kernel of the composition

$$
M_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\text{ES}_{\Gamma}} H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \xrightarrow{\prod_c \text{res}^{\Gamma}_\Gamma} \prod_{c \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})} H^1(\Gamma_c,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})
$$

is exactly  $S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)}$ .

*Proof.* The anti-holomorphic part is addressed in the same way as the holomorphic part, so we only consider  $M_k(\Gamma)$ . Let  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ , and fix a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ . For a cusp  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ , let  $c = \gamma \infty$  for some  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ; using the injectivity of res*,* we can assume  $\Gamma_{\text{c}} = \langle \gamma \mathbf{n}(\text{x}) \gamma^{-1} \rangle$  for some  $\text{x} \in \mathbb{N}.$  Write

$$
f|_{k}\gamma(\tau)=a_0+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nq^n=:a_0+g(\tau).
$$

Then  $f(\tau) = a_0|_{k}\gamma^{-1}(\tau) + g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}(\tau) = \frac{a_0}{j(\gamma^{-1}, \tau)^k} + g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}(\tau)$ , and

$$
I_f(z_0, hz_0) = \int_{z_0}^{hz_0} f(z)(Xz + Y)^{k-2} dz
$$
  
=  $a_0 \int_{z_0}^{hz_0} \frac{(Xz + Y)^{k-2}}{j(\gamma^{-1}, z)^k} dz + \int_{z_0}^{hz_0} g|_{k} \gamma^{-1}(z)(Xz + Y)^{k-2} dz.$ 

Since q is of exponential decay near  $\infty$ , the integral

$$
I_{g|_k\gamma^{-1}}(z_0,\gamma\tau)=\gamma.I_g(\gamma^{-1}z_0,\tau)=\gamma.\int_{\gamma^{-1}z_0}^\tau g(z)(Xz+Y)^{k-2}dz
$$

$$
\Box
$$

converges as  $\tau \to \infty$  (within a bounded vertical strip), so the notation  $I_{q|x\gamma^{-1}}(z_0, \gamma \infty)$  makes sense. Consider a general element  $h = \gamma n(N)\gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_c$ . Then

$$
I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(z_{0}, hz_{0}) = I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(z_{0}, \gamma \infty) + I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(\gamma \infty, hz_{0})
$$
  
(as  $\mathbf{n}(N)$  fixes  $\infty$ ) =  $I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(z_{0}, \gamma \infty) + I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(h\gamma \infty, hz_{0})$   
=  $I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(z_{0}, \gamma \infty) + h.I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}h}(\gamma \infty, z_{0})$   
=  $(1 - h)I_{g|_{k}\gamma^{-1}}(z_{0}, \gamma \infty)$ 

where the last equality holds as  $g|_k\gamma^{-1}h=g|_k$ **n**(N) $\gamma^{-1}=g|_k\gamma^{-1}.$  This shows the image of f in H $^1$ (Γ<sub>c</sub>, C[X, Y] $_{k-2}$ ) is represented by the 1-cocycle

$$
\Gamma_c\ni h\mapsto a_0\int_{z_0}^{hz_0}\frac{(Xz+Y)^{k-2}}{j(\gamma^{-1},z)^k}dz.
$$

It remains to shows the 1-cocycle represents zero if and only if  $a_0 = 0$ . We need an explicit description of  $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\Gamma_{c},\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}).$  From  $(2.3)$  we have

$$
H^1(\Gamma_c, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}}{(1 - \gamma \boldsymbol{n}(x)\gamma^{-1})\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}}{(1 - \boldsymbol{n}(x))\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}}
$$

with the isomorphisms given by  $u \mapsto u(\gamma n(x)\gamma^{-1})$  and  $f \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$ .f. But [Lemma 3.3.\(ii\)](#page-14-1) implies  $f \mapsto f(0, 1)$ defines an isomorphism of the last space with  $\mathbb C.$  Finally, write  $\gamma^{-1}=$  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  compute (with  $h = \gamma n(x)\gamma^{-1}$ )

$$
\left(\gamma^{-1} \cdot \int_{z_0}^{hz_0} \frac{(Xz+Y)^{k-2}}{j(\gamma^{-1},z)^k} dz\right) (0,1) = \left(\int_{z_0}^{hz_0} \frac{(Xz+Y)^{k-2}}{j(\gamma^{-1},z)^k} dz\right) ((0,1)\gamma^{-1})
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{z_0}^{hz_0} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2} dz
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{z_0}^{hz_0} d(\gamma^{-1}z) = \gamma^{-1}(hz_0 - z_0) = \mathbf{n}(x)\gamma^{-1}z_0 - \gamma^{-1}z_0 = x \neq 0.
$$

This finishes the proof. □

<span id="page-18-0"></span>4.3. **Eichler-Shimura isomorphism.** From [Theorem 4.5](#page-17-1), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{CD} M_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} @>>> H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) @>\overline{\prod_c \operatorname{res}^{\Gamma_c}_{\Gamma_c}}>> \prod_{c \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})} H^1(\Gamma_c,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})\\ & @>>\Gamma \\ S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} @>>> H^1_{\mathrm{par}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \\ \end{CD}
$$

We can now state the main theorem of this article.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\Gamma \leq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a congruence subgroup. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and assume it is even if  $-I \in \Gamma$ . Then the Eichler-Shimura map

$$
ES_{\Gamma}: M_{k}(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_{k}(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } H^{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})
$$

is an isomorphism, and the image of  $S_k(\Gamma)\oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)}$  is isomorphic to  $H_{\mathrm{par}}^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}).$ 

The idea of the proof goes as follows. To show  $ES<sub>Γ</sub>$  is injective, by [Theorem 4.5](#page-17-1) we may restrict to the cuspidal subspace  $S_k(\Gamma) \oplus S_k(\Gamma)$ . The key ingredient is the non-degeneracy of the Petersson inner product on the modular curve. We will define a pairing on the cohomology group, and hence a cup product. We show it coincides with the Petersson inner product, and conclude the proof for injectivity. These will be completed in the subsequent subsection.

Assuming the injectivity, we proceed to finish the proof. We reduce to the case Γ is torsion-free.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma \leq SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be congruence subgroup. The inclusion  $S_k(\Gamma) \subseteq S_k(\Gamma')$  induces an equality  $S_k(\Gamma) = S_k(\Gamma')^{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$ . Similarly,  $M_k(\Gamma) = M_k(\Gamma')^{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$ 

*Proof.* For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the action is given by  $f \mapsto f|_{k} \gamma$ . If  $\gamma' \in \Gamma'$ , then  $f|_{k} \gamma \gamma' = f|_{k} (\gamma \gamma' \gamma^{-1} \gamma) = f|_{k} \gamma$ , so the action passes to the quotient  $\Gamma/\Gamma'$ . The identity then follows from the definition.

Retain the notation in the lemma. On the other hand, since  $\Gamma/\Gamma'$  is a finite group, from the inflation-restriction exact sequence and [Lemma 2.3.\(ii\),](#page-5-1) we see the restriction induces an isomorphism

$$
res_{\Gamma|\Gamma'}: H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma',\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})^{\Gamma/\Gamma'}
$$

**Lemma 4.8.** The diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} M_k(\Gamma)\oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ES}_{\Gamma}} & H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow_{\mathrm{res}_{\Gamma|\Gamma'}} \\ M_k(\Gamma')\oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma')} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ES}_{\Gamma'}} & H^1(\Gamma',\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \end{array}
$$

commutes, and the bottom arrow satisfies  $ES_{\Gamma'}(f|_{k}\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}.ES_{\Gamma'}(f)$  for  $\gamma' \in \Gamma'.$ 

*Proof.* The first is clear, where the second follows from the second identity in [Lemma 4.1](#page-15-2). □

Next we consider the parabolic cohomology. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
\begin{CD} 0 @>>> H_{par}^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) @>>> H^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) @>\stackrel{res}\longrightarrow \prod_{c\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})} H^1(\Gamma_c,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \\ & \Bigg| \underset{C\in\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})}{\longrightarrow} \quad \Bigg| \unders
$$

Γ acts on the bottom-right product by conjugation, and the restriction map res by its left is Γ -equivariant. Γ (resp. Γ 1 ) acts on the factors on the upper-right (resp. bottom-right) trivially. These together implies that  $H^1_{par}(\Gamma', \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})$  inherits a Γ/Γ'-action, and res :  $H^1_{par}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}) \to H^1_{par}(\Gamma', \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})$  induces an isomorphism

$$
H^1_{par}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) \xrightarrow{\ \ \sim \ \ \ } H^1_{par}(\Gamma',\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})^{\Gamma/\Gamma'}.
$$

Hence, to prove that  $ES_{\Gamma}$  is an isomorphism, we can replace  $\Gamma$  by some  $\Gamma(N)$  with  $N \ge 3$  so that  $\Gamma$  is torsionfree. By [Lemma 3.4,](#page-14-2) the sources and the targets have the same dimension in this case, so the injectivity implies ES<sup>Γ</sup> is an isomorphism, and the image of cuspidal subspaces is exactly the parabolic cohomology. This finishes the proof modulo the injectivity. See [\[Hid06](#page-27-11)] for another proof using Laplacian and spectral theory for the unitary representation of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb R)$  on the space  $\text{L}^2(\Gamma\backslash\text{SL}_2(\mathbb R)).$ 

<span id="page-19-0"></span>4.4. **Petersson inner product.** For  $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma)$ , denote by  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}}$  their Petersson inner product, i.e.,

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(Y_{\Gamma})} \int_{Y_{\Gamma}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.
$$

Let B be the standard fundamental domain of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then

$$
\int_{Y(\Gamma)} f(z)\overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dxdy}{y^2} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\gamma B} f(z)\overline{g(z)} y^k \frac{dxdy}{y^2} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{B} f|_{k}\gamma(z)\overline{g|_{k}\gamma(z)} y^k \frac{dxdy}{y^2}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

Write 
$$
dx \wedge dy = \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{-2i}
$$
; then  $y^k \frac{dx \wedge dy}{y^2} = \frac{-1}{(2i)^{k-1}} (z - \overline{z})^k \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{(z - \overline{z})^2}$  and hence  

$$
\langle f, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}} = \frac{-1}{(2i)^{k-1}} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(Y(\Gamma))} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_B f|_{k} \gamma(z) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} (z - \overline{z})^k \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{(z - \overline{z})^2}.
$$

We use Stokes' theorem to compute the integral. The form  $z \mapsto \Big( \int^z$ ∞  $f|_k \gamma(u) (u - \overline{z})^{k-2} du \right) \overline{g|_k \gamma(z)} d\overline{z}$  has differential  $f|_k \gamma(z) \overline{g|_k \gamma(z)} (z-\overline{z})^k \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{(z-\overline{z})^2}$  $\frac{22}{(z-\overline{z})^2}$ . By Stokes' theorem,

$$
\int_B f|_k\gamma(z)\overline{g|_k\gamma(z)}(z-\overline{z})^k\frac{dz\wedge d\overline{z}}{(z-\overline{z})^2}=\int_{\partial B}\left(\int_{\infty}^zf|_k\gamma(u)(u-\overline{z})^{k-2}du\right)\overline{g|_k\gamma(z)}d\overline{z}.
$$

Let  $\alpha_1 = \infty \leadsto \mu_3$  and  $\alpha_2 = \mu_3 \leadsto i$ ; then  $\partial B = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - S\alpha_2 - T\alpha_1$ . If C is a path and  $\sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then

$$
\int_{\sigma C} \left( \int_{\infty}^{z} f|_{k} \gamma(u)(u - \overline{z})^{k-2} du \right) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} dz = \int_{C} \int_{\infty}^{\sigma z} f|_{k} \gamma(u) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(\sigma z)} (u - \sigma \overline{z})^{k-2} \frac{d \sigma \overline{z}}{dz} du d\overline{z}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{C} \int_{\sigma^{-1} \infty}^{z} f|_{k} \gamma \sigma(u) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(\sigma(z))} (u - \overline{z})^{k-2} du d\overline{z}
$$
\n
$$
= \left( \int_{C} \int_{\infty}^{z} - \int_{C} \int_{\infty}^{\sigma^{-1} \infty} \right) f|_{k} \gamma \sigma(u) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(\sigma(z))} (u - \overline{z})^{k-2} du d\overline{z}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned} \int_{C-\sigma C} \left( \int_{\infty}^z f|_{k}\gamma(u)(u-\overline{z})^{k-2}du \right) \overline{g|_{k}\gamma(z)}d\overline{z} &= \int_{C} \int_{\infty}^z \left( f|_{k}\gamma(u)\overline{g|_{k}\gamma(z)} - f|_{k}\gamma\sigma(u)\overline{g|_{k}\gamma\sigma(z)} \right)(u-\overline{z})^{k-2}dud\overline{z} \\ &\qquad \qquad + \int_{C} \int_{\infty}^{\sigma^{-1}\infty} f|_{k}\gamma\sigma(u)\overline{g|_{k}\gamma\sigma(z)} \, (u-\overline{z})^{k-2} \, dud\overline{z}. \end{aligned}
$$

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Lemma 4.9.** For f,  $g \in S_k(\Gamma)$ , one has<sup>4</sup>

$$
\langle f,g \rangle_{Pet} = \frac{-1}{(2i)^{k-1}\,vol(Y(\Gamma))} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb Z)} \int_{\mu_3}^i \int_\infty^0 f|_k \gamma(z) \overline{g|_k \gamma(z)} (z-\overline{z})^{k-2} dz d\overline{z}.
$$

*Proof.* From the last formula, we see

$$
\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\alpha_2 - S\alpha_2} \int_{\infty}^z f|_{k} \gamma(u) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} (u - \overline{z})^{k_2} du d\overline{z} &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\alpha_2} \int_{\infty}^{S\infty} f|_{k} \gamma S(z) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma S(z)} (u - \overline{z})^{k-2} du d\overline{z} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\mu_3}^i \int_{\infty}^0 f|_{k} \gamma(u) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} (u - \overline{z})^{k-2} du d\overline{z} \end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\int_{\alpha_1-\mathsf{T}\alpha_1}\int_{\infty}^zf|_{k}\gamma(u)\overline{g|_{k}\gamma(z)}(z-\overline{z})^{k_2}dud\overline{z}=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}\int_{\alpha_1}\int_{\infty}^{\mathsf{T}\infty}f|_{k}\gamma\mathsf{T}(u)\overline{g|_{k}\gamma\mathsf{T}(z)}(u-\overline{z})^{k-2}dud\overline{z}=0.
$$

The last integral is zero as  $T\infty = \infty$ . In the first integral, we use that  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \sigma$  is a bijection on the set  $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\Box$ 

In the following subsections, we will equip ourselves with enough tools to compare  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\text{Pet}}$  with cup products of group cohomology. Then we complete the proof in [\(4.8\)](#page-23-1).

<span id="page-20-0"></span>⁴On the right hand side of the displayed formula are two path integrals.

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>4.5. **Cup products.**

**Definition 4.10.** The subgroup of **parabolic** 1-cocycles<sup>5</sup> is defined by

$$
\mathsf{Z}^1_{\mathsf{P}}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}),\mathsf{M}):=\ker\bigg(\mathsf{Z}^1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}),\mathsf{M})\xrightarrow{\phantom{a}\mathrm{res}}\mathsf{Z}^1(\langle T\rangle,\mathsf{M})\bigg).
$$

In other words, a 1-cocycle is  $u : PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to M$  parabolic if and only if  $u(T) = 0$ .

Let R be a unital ring in which 6 is invertible. Let M, N be two R[PSL<sub>2</sub> $(\mathbb{Z})$ ]-modules such that there is an  $R[PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})]$ -homomorphism  $\pi : M \otimes_R N \to R$ . Define the pairing

$$
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\pi}: \mathsf{Z}^1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathsf{M}) \times \mathsf{Z}^1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathsf{N}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{R}
$$

as follows. If  $u, v$  are 1-cocycles, since  $H^2(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), R) = 0$  by [Corollary](#page-12-2) 3.1.2, the 2-cocycle  $\pi_*(u \cup v) \in$  $Z^2(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), R)$  is a 2-coboundary, i.e.,  $\pi_*(u \cup v) = \partial w$  for some  $w : \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to R$ . Set

$$
\langle \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle_{\pi} = \mathfrak{w}(T).
$$

We compute the pairing. For convenience, set  $\rho = \pi_*(u \cup v)$ . Then

$$
\rho(TS, S) = w(S) - w(T) + w(TS)
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho(S, S) = w(S) - w(1) + w(S) = 2w(S)
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho(TS, TS) = w(TS) - w((TS)^2) + w(TS) = 2w(TS) - w((TS)^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho(TS, (TS)^2) = w((TS)^2) + w(TS)
$$

and thus

$$
w(T) = \frac{1}{3}(\rho(TS, TS) + \rho(TS, (TS)^{2})) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(S, S) - \rho(TS, S)
$$

As a by-product, we see the pairing is independent of the choice of the 2-coboundary  $w$ .

(i) Suppose  $u \in Z^1_p(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), M)$ . Then  $u(T) = 0$  and

$$
\rho(TS,S)=\pi_*(u(TS)\otimes TS\nu(S))=\pi_*(-TSu(S)\otimes TS\nu(S))=-\pi_*(u(S)\otimes \nu(S))=\rho(S,S).
$$

Here we use the identity  $TSS = T$  to obtain  $u(TS) = -TSu(S)$ . Moreover, if  $v = \partial n$  is a 1-coboundary, then

$$
\rho(x,y) = \pi_*(u(x) \otimes x(yn - n)) = \pi_*(u(x) \otimes xyn) - \pi_*(u(x) \otimes xn)
$$
  
=  $\pi_*((u(xy) - xu(y)) \otimes xyn) - \pi_*(u(x) \otimes xn)$   
=  $\pi_*(-x(u(y) \otimes yn) + u(xy) \otimes xyn - u(x) \otimes xn).$ 

If we put  $c(x) := -\pi_*(u(x) \otimes x_n)$ , then the above is  $x.c(y) - c(xy) + c(x) = \partial c$ . It follows from the definition that  $\langle u, v \rangle_\pi = c(T) = -\pi_*(u(T) \otimes T_n) = 0$ . In other words, the pairing  $\langle u, v \rangle_\pi$  depends only on the class of  $v \in H^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), N)$  in this case.

(ii) Suppose  $v \in Z^1_P(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), N)$ . Then  $\rho(TS, S) = \rho(TS, (TS)^2)$  and  $\langle u, v \rangle_{\pi}$  only depends on the class of  $\mathfrak{u} \in H^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}), M)$ . These are shown as (i).

<span id="page-21-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>It is not so easy to define such a notion for general congruence subgroups, because different choice of representatives of cusps does not yield the same parabolic cocycles. This is very different from the situation for parabolic cohomology groups in §[3.2](#page-12-0).

<span id="page-22-0"></span>4.6. Pairing. We construct a certain pairing that is useful for us. Let R be a unital ring. On R<sup>2</sup> consider an alternating pairing  $\circ: \mathsf{R}^2 \times \mathsf{R}^2 \to \mathsf{R}$  defined by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} := \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.
$$

If we let  $SL_2(\Z)$  on  $R^2$  by left multiplication, then the pairing  $\circ$  is clearly  $SL_2(\Z)$ -invariant. The pairing is naturally extended to the tensor power  $\mathsf{T}^\mathfrak{n} \mathsf{R}^2$  of  $\mathsf{R}^2$ :

$$
\left( \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \right) \circ \left( \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_n \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} \right) := \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ c_i \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} b_i \\ d_i \end{pmatrix}
$$

If  $\mathfrak n!$  is invertible in R, the symmetric power Sym $^{\mathfrak n}$  R<sup>2</sup> can be viewed a subspace of T $^{\mathfrak n}$ R<sup>2</sup> via

$$
\operatorname{Sym}^n R^2 \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad} T^n R^2
$$
  

$$
\nu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_n \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \nu_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_{\sigma(n)}
$$

so  $\circ$  defines a pairing on Sym $\mathrm{^{n}}$  R<sup>2</sup>. For example, if  $\Big(\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}}\Big)$ c  $\setminus^{\otimes n}$ ,  $\int$ d  $\setminus^{\otimes n}$  $\in$  Sym<sup>n</sup> R<sup>2</sup> (elements in the quotient), then

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} \circ \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes n} = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^n.
$$

The following is standard, but we mention it for convenience.

**Lemma 4.11.** For  $n \ge 1$ , the map

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{Sym}^n R^2 & \xrightarrow{\qquad} R[X, Y]_n \\
\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} & \xrightarrow{\qquad} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i X + c_i Y)\n\end{aligned}
$$

is an  $R[SL_2(\mathbb{Z})]$ -isomorphism.

Using the isomorphism, we've defined a pairing

$$
\circ: R[X,Y]_{k-2} \times R[X,Y]_{k-2} \xrightarrow{\ \ } R
$$

for  $k \geq 2$  with  $(k - 2)! \in R^{\times}$ . Again, we have

$$
(aX + cY)^{k-2} \circ (bX + dY)^{k-2} = (ad - bc)^{k-2} \in R.
$$

In the case R = C, we have  $(Xz+Y)^{k-2}\circ (X\overline{z}+Y)^{k-2}=(z-\overline{z})^{k-2}$ , and this is exactly the point that this pairing is useful for us.

Let  $\Gamma \leqslant SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be of finite index and  $R = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $-I \in \Gamma$ , we assume  $k \geqslant 2$  is even; then  $-I$  acts on  $R[X, Y]_{k-2}$ trivially. Denote by  $\overline{\Gamma}$  the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . With this assumption,  $R[X, Y]_{k-2}$  can be always viewed as an R[ $\bar{\Gamma}$ ]-module. The above pairing extends to a pairing on the induced module Ind $_{\bar{\Gamma}}^{\rm PSL_2(\Z)}$  R[X, Y] $_{\rm k-2}$  naturally: for  $f, g : PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to R[X, Y]_{k_2},$ 

$$
f\circ g:=\sum_{\gamma\in\overline{\Gamma}\backslash\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}f(\gamma)\circ g(\gamma).
$$

Consequently, using the result in the last subsection, we may define a pairing

$$
\langle\, , \rangle \! := \! \langle\, , \rangle_{\circ}
$$

on  $Z^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , Ind $\frac{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})}{\Gamma}(X,Y|_{k-2})$ . We impose the above condition on k throughout.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>4.7. **Comparison.** For  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ , denote by  $\phi_f^{z_0} \in Z^1(PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $Ind_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k_2}$  the 1-cocycle obtained under the map in [Lemma 4.2](#page-16-0) with basepoint  $z_0\,\in\,\mathbb{H}\cup\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  postcomposed with the isomorphism in [Lemma 2.6;](#page-7-2) explicitly, for  $\gamma, \gamma' \in PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$
\varphi_f^{z_0}(\gamma)(\gamma')=I_f(\gamma'z_0,\gamma'\gamma z_0).
$$

Denote  $\varphi_{\overline{f}}^{z_0}$  $\frac{z_0}{f} \in Z^1(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k_2})$  similarly.

<span id="page-23-2"></span>**Theorem 4.12.** For  $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma)$ , we have

$$
\left\langle \varphi_{f}^{\infty},\varphi_{\overline{g}}^{\mu_{6}}\right\rangle =c_{k,\Gamma}\langle f,g\rangle_{Pet}
$$

with  $c_{k,\Gamma} = (2i)^{k-1} vol(Y(\Gamma)).$ 

*Proof.* We compute  $\rho = \circ^*(\varphi_f^{\infty} \cup \varphi_{\overline{g}}^{\mu_6})$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , one has

$$
\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha,\beta) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \varphi_f^\infty(\alpha)(\gamma) \circ \varphi_{\overline{g}}^{\mu_6}(\beta)(\gamma \alpha) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\gamma \alpha \mu_6}^{\gamma \alpha \beta \mu_6} \int_{\gamma \infty}^{\gamma \alpha \beta} f(z) \overline{g(z)} (Xz+\gamma)^{k-2} \circ (X \overline{z}+\gamma)^{k-2} dz d\overline{z} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\alpha \mu_6}^{\alpha \beta \mu_6} \int_{\infty}^{\alpha \alpha} f|_k \gamma(z) \overline{g|_k \gamma(z)} (z-\overline{z})^{k-2} dz d\overline{z}. \end{aligned}
$$

Since  $\phi_f^{\infty}$  is parabolic (while  $\phi_{\overline{g}}^{\mu_6}$  is not), we only need to compute  $\rho$  for  $(\alpha, \beta) = (S, S)$ , (TS, TS), (TS, (TS)<sup>2</sup>) [\(4.5\).\(i\)](#page-21-0). But TS stabilizes  $\mu_6$ , so  $\rho(TS, TS) = \rho(TS, (TS)^2) = 0$ .

$$
\begin{aligned} \rho(S,S) & = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\mu_3}^{\mu_6} \int_{\infty}^0 f|_{k} \gamma(z) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} (z-\overline{z})^{k-2} dz d\overline{z} \\ & = \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\mu_3}^i \int_{\infty}^0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{i}^{\mu_6} \int_{\infty}^0 \right) (\cdots) \\ & = \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\mu_3}^i \int_{\infty}^0 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{Si}^{S\mu_3} \int_{S0}^{S\infty} \right) (\cdots) = 2 \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\mu_3}^i \int_{\infty}^0 f|_{k} \gamma(z) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} (z-\overline{z})^{k-2} dz d\overline{z} \end{aligned}
$$

By [\(4.5\)](#page-21-0) and [Lemma 4.9,](#page-20-1) we find

$$
\langle \varphi_{f}^{\infty}, \varphi_{\overline{g}}^{\mu_{6}} \rangle = - \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})} \int_{\mu_{3}}^{i} \int_{\infty}^{0} f|_{k} \gamma(z) \overline{g|_{k} \gamma(z)} (z - \overline{z})^{k-2} dz d\overline{z} = (2i)^{k-1} \mathrm{vol}(Y(\Gamma)) \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathrm{Pet}}.
$$

□

<span id="page-23-1"></span>4.8. **Injectivity of Eichler-Shimura map.** We use the isomorphism in [Lemma 2.6](#page-7-2) as an identify the cohomology of PSL<sub>2</sub>(Z) and SL<sub>2</sub>(Z). We prove the injectivity first. By [Lemma 4.4](#page-17-2), it suffices to show  $sh^{-1}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z})|\Gamma} \circ rES_{\Gamma}$  is injective. Let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$  with  $2sh^{-1}_{SL_2(\mathbb{Z}|\Gamma)}(rES_{\Gamma}(f)) = [\varphi^{\infty}_{f} + \varphi^{\infty}_{\overline{f}}] = 0$ . Since  $\varphi^{\infty}_{f}$  is a parabolic cocycle, from [\(4.5\).\(i\)](#page-21-0) and [Theorem 4.12](#page-23-2) we have

$$
0=\langle \varphi_f^{\infty}, \varphi_f^{\infty}+\varphi_{\overline{f}}^{\infty}\rangle=\underbrace{\langle \varphi_f^{\infty}, \varphi_f^{\infty}\rangle}_{=0 \text{ by supercommutativity}}+\langle \varphi_f^{\infty}, \varphi_{\overline{f}}^{\infty}\rangle=\langle \varphi_f^{\infty}, \varphi_{\overline{f}}^{\mu_6}\rangle=c_{k,\Gamma}\langle f, f\rangle_{Pet}.
$$

Since  $\langle$ ,  $\rangle_{\text{Pet}}$  is non-degenerate, we conclude that  $f = 0$  in  $S_k(\Gamma)$ .

<span id="page-24-0"></span>4.9. **Hecke actions on cohomology.** Let  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \le SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a congruence subgroup. Recall the double coset operator  $[\Gamma_1 \alpha \Gamma_2]$  defines a correspondence depicted in the following way.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_{1}\alpha\cap\Gamma_{2} & \xrightarrow{\chi\mapsto\alpha\chi\alpha^{-1}}&\Gamma_{1}\cap\alpha\Gamma_{2}\alpha^{-1}& &Y(\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_{1}\alpha\cap\Gamma_{2}) & \xrightarrow{\chi\mapsto\alpha\chi}\chi&Y(\Gamma_{1}\cap\alpha\Gamma_{2}\alpha^{-1})\\ &&&&&\searrow&&&\\&&&&&\searrow&&&&\\ &&&&&\Gamma_{2}&&&&\\ &&&&&\Gamma_{1}&&&&Y(\Gamma_{2})&&&&Y(\Gamma_{1})\\ \end{array}
$$

If  $Γ_2 = \Box$ γ∈Α  $(\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_1\alpha \cap \Gamma_2)\gamma$ , then  $\Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_2 = \Box$  $\bigsqcup_{\gamma\in A}\Gamma_1\alpha\gamma$  and

$$
\Gamma_2\tau\mapsto\sum_{\gamma\in A}(\alpha^{-1}\Gamma_1\alpha\cap\Gamma_2)\gamma\tau\mapsto\sum_{\gamma\in A}(\Gamma_1\cap\alpha\Gamma_2\alpha^{-1})\alpha\gamma\mapsto\sum_{\gamma\in A}\Gamma_1\alpha\gamma.
$$

For an R[SL<sub>2</sub>(Z)]-module M and  $\alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})^{+6}$ , define the operator  $T_\alpha : H^1(\Gamma_1,M) \to H^1(\Gamma_2,M)$  as

$$
T_{\alpha}: H^{1}(\Gamma_{1}, M) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^{1}(\Gamma_{1} \cap \alpha \Gamma_{2} \alpha^{-1}, M) \xrightarrow{\det(\alpha) \text{conj}_{\alpha}} H^{1}(\alpha^{-1} \Gamma_{1} \alpha \cap \Gamma_{2}, M) \xrightarrow{\text{cores}} H^{1}(\Gamma_{2}, M)
$$
\n
$$
\parallel
$$
\n
$$
H^{1}((\Gamma_{1} \cap \alpha \Gamma_{2} \alpha^{-1})^{\alpha}, M)
$$

If  $\mathfrak{u}: \alpha^{-1}\Gamma_1\alpha \cap \Gamma_2 \to \mathsf{M}$  is a 1-cocycle, then

$$
cores(u)(g)=\sum_{\alpha\in A}\alpha^{-1}.u(\alpha ga_g^{-1})
$$

where  $\alpha_g\in A$  is the unique element such that  $\alpha g\alpha_g^{-1}\in\alpha^{-1}$   $\Gamma_1\alpha\cap\Gamma_2$  (c.f. [[NSW13](#page-27-6), p.48]). Hence, for a 1-cocycle  $u : \Gamma_1 \to M$ , we see

$$
T_{\alpha}(u)(g)=\sum_{\alpha\in A}\mathfrak{a}\det(\alpha).\text{conj}_{\alpha}(u)(\text{ag} \mathfrak{a}_g^{-1})=\sum_{\alpha\in A}(\alpha\mathfrak{a})^{\iota}u(\alpha\text{ag} \mathfrak{a}_g^{-1}\alpha^{-1}).
$$

where for a matrix  $\delta \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , put  $\delta^{\iota} =$  adj  $\delta$ . If we put  $\beta_{\alpha} = \alpha\gamma$ , then  $B := \{\beta_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in B}$  is a set of representatives of  $Γ_1\backslash Γ_1\alpha Γ_2$ , and

$$
T_\alpha(u)(g)=\sum_{\beta\in B}\beta^{\iota}.u(\beta g\beta_g^{-1})
$$

where  $\beta_g \in B$  is the unique element such that  $\beta g \beta_g^{-1} \in \Gamma_1$ .

<span id="page-24-2"></span>**Lemma 4.13.** There is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nM_{k}(\Gamma_{1})\oplus\overline{S_{k}(\Gamma_{1})} & \xrightarrow{[\Gamma_{1}\alpha\Gamma_{2}]}\n\end{array}\n\longrightarrow M_{k}(\Gamma_{2})\oplus\overline{S_{k}(\Gamma_{2})}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
H^{1}(\Gamma_{1},\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}) & \xrightarrow{\phantom{a}\Gamma_{\alpha}} H^{1}(\Gamma_{2},\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})\n\end{array}
$$

<span id="page-24-1"></span> $C$ Onsidering the action of such an element is needed for the definition of Hecke operators  $T_n$ . This is implicit in this note.

*Proof.* The corresponding 1-cocycle for  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1)$  is  $\phi_f : \gamma \mapsto I_f(z_0, \gamma z_0)$ . By [Lemma 4.1,](#page-15-2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \varphi_{[\Gamma_1\alpha\Gamma_2]f}(\gamma) &= \sum_{\beta\in B} I_{f|_k\beta}(z_0, \gamma z_0) = \sum_{\beta\in B} \beta'. I_f(\beta z_0, \beta \gamma z_0) \\ &= \sum_{\beta\in B} \beta'. \left( I_f(\beta z_0, z_0) + I_f(z_0, \beta \gamma \beta_\gamma^{-1} z_0) + I_f(\beta \gamma \beta_\gamma^{-1} z_0, \beta \gamma \beta_\gamma^{-1} \beta_\gamma z_0) \right) \\ &= T_\alpha(\varphi_f)(\gamma) + \sum_{\beta\in B} \beta'. I_f(\beta z_0, z_0) + \beta \gamma \beta_\gamma^{-1} I_f(z_0, \beta_\gamma z_0)) \\ &= T_\alpha(\varphi_f)(\gamma) - (1-\gamma) \sum_{\beta\in B} \beta'. I_f(z_0, \beta z_0). \end{aligned}
$$

The last term is a coboundary, so this finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo N. Consider the usual action of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  on  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}$  but twisted by  $\chi$ , in the sense that

$$
\gamma.f(X,Y) = \chi(d)f((X,Y)\gamma), \qquad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N).
$$

We denote this module by  $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k=2}^{\chi}$ . Note that the  $\Gamma_1(N)$ -modules  $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_1(N)}^{\Gamma_0(N)}\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k=2}^{\chi}$  are the same for all Dirichlet characters χ.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>**Lemma 4.14.** Let G be a group and H  $\leq$  G be such that G/H is finite abelian. If M is a G-module, for  $\chi \in \widehat{G/H}$ denote by  $M^{\chi}$  the G-module defined by  $g_{\gamma}$ m =  $\chi(g \mod H)g$ .m. Then

$$
\textstyle \bigoplus_{\chi} H^\bullet(G, M^{\chi}) \xrightarrow{\phantom{f} {\operatorname{res}}_{G \mid H}} H^\bullet(H, M)
$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By the general theory, we have  $H^p(H, M) = \bigoplus_{\chi} H^p(H, M)^{\chi}$ , where

$$
H^p(H,M)^{\chi}=\{u\in H^p(H,M)\mid \text{conj}_gu=\chi(g \text{ mod } H)u \text{ for all }g\in G\}.
$$

As H-modules, we have H<sup>p</sup>(H, M) = H<sup>p</sup>(H, M<sup>x</sup>). It is clear that H<sup>p</sup>(H, M)<sup>x</sup> = H<sup>p</sup>(H, M<sup>x</sup>)<sup>G/H</sup> as sets, so

$$
H^{\bullet}(H, M) = \bigoplus_{X} H^{\bullet}(H, M^X)^{G/H}.
$$

Since G/H is finite, the inflation-restriction sequence shows that res : H $\bullet$ (G,M<sup>x</sup>)  $\to$  H $\bullet$ (H,M<sup>x</sup>)<sup>G/H</sup> is an isomorphism. This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Consider the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism for  $\Gamma_1(N)$ 

$$
M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma_1(N))} \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})
$$

For  $\gamma=$  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ , we know  $[\Gamma_1(N)\gamma \Gamma_1(N)]$  is the diamond operator  $\langle d \rangle$ . On the other hand, by definition the action of  $T_\gamma$  on  $H^1(\Gamma_1(N))$  is conj<sub>γ</sub>, so  $\gamma \mapsto T_\gamma$  is simply the action map of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  on  $H^1(\Gamma_1(N), \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2})$ . Let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo N. From [Lemma 4.13](#page-24-2) and [Lemma 4.14](#page-25-0), taking  $\chi$ -eigen part yields

$$
M_k(N,\chi) \oplus \overline{S_k(N,\chi)} \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0(N),\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}^{\chi})
$$

From the definition we see  $T_\alpha$  preserves the parabolic subspace, i.e.,  $T_\alpha(H_{\rm par}^1(\Gamma_1,M))\subseteq H_{\rm par}^1(\Gamma_2,M)$ . Hence the Eichler-Shimura map also induces an isomorphism

 $S_k(N, \chi) \oplus \overline{S_k(N, \chi)} \longrightarrow H^1_{par}(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_{k-2}^{\chi}).$ 

Since the diamond operators and the Hecke operators commute, by [Lemma 4.13](#page-24-2) the above isomorphisms are all Hecke-equivariant. We record this as a

**Theorem 4.15.** Let  $N \ge 1$  and  $\chi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/N})^{\times}$ . Then the Eichler-Shimura map induces Hecke-equivariant isomorphisms

$$
\begin{CD} M_k(N,\chi) \oplus \overline{S_k(N,\chi)} @>>> H^1(\Gamma_0(N),\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}^\chi) \\ \subseteq \stackrel{\frown}{\Big\uparrow} & \subseteq \stackrel{\frown}{\Big\uparrow} \\ S_k(N,\chi) \oplus \overline{S_k(N,\chi)} @>>> H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma_0(N),\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}^\chi). \end{CD}
$$

Also, the real Eichler-Shimura  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H_{par}^1(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbb{R}[X, Y]_{k-2})$  is a Hecke-equivariant isomorphism.

<span id="page-26-0"></span>4.10. **Rationality of modular forms.** Recall in class (c.f. [\[DS05](#page-27-2), §6.5]) the Hecke algebra was defined to be the Z-algebra of  $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$  generated by all  $T_n$  and  $\langle n \rangle$ . Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(N)$  and  $k \ge 2$ . Denote this time by  $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{Z}$  the Z-subalgebra of End<sub>C</sub> S<sub>k</sub>(Γ) generated by all  $T_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Since the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism

$$
S_k(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_k(\Gamma)} \longrightarrow H^1_{par}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})
$$

is Hecke-equivariant,  $\mathbb{T}_\Z$  is isomorphic to the one generated in  $H^1_{\mathrm{par}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2}).$  Since  $\Z\to\mathbb{C}$  is flat, we have

$$
H_{par}^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{k-2})\cong H_{par}^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{Z}[X,Y]_{k-2})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}.
$$

It is clear from the definition that the Hecke actions leave invariant the subspace  $H^1_{par}(\Gamma,\Z[X,Y]_{k-2})$ , so

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}\subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}\,\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma,\mathbb{Z}[X,Y]_{k-2}).
$$

Recall that if Γ is torsion-free, we can view it as the fundamental group of Γ H, which is a punctured closed surface. It is known that such a group must be finite free, and in this case, the cohomology group is finitely generated. Generally,  $\Gamma$  has a normal torsion-free subgroup, so by the inflation-restriction sequence,  $H^1(\Gamma,\Z[X, Y]_{k-2})$ is always a finite Z-module. This proves

**Lemma 4.16.**  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a free abelian group of finite rank.

Let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$  be an eigenform. Hence  $T \mapsto a_1(Tf)$  defines an algebra homomorphism

 $\lambda_f : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$ 

Since  $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{Z}$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , its image lies in a number field. Hence all Fourier coefficients of f generates a number field. This is called the number field of f, and is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}(f)$ .

Consider the canonical pairing

$$
\langle , \rangle : \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}} \times S_{k}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

$$
(\mathsf{T}, \mathsf{f}) \longmapsto \mathsf{a}_{1}(\mathsf{T} \mathsf{f}).
$$

**Lemma 4.17.** The pairing is non-degenerate on both arguments.

*Proof.* The key point is  $a_1(T_n f) = a_n(f)$ . If  $a_1(Tf) = 0$  for all f, then  $a_n(Tf) = a_1(T_n(Tf)) = a_1(T(T_nf))$ , implying Tf is a constant. Since  $k \ge 1$ , this forces Tf = 0 for all f. Hence T = 0 as operators. On the other hand, if  $a_1(Tf) = 0$  for all T, then  $a_n(f) = a_1(T_nf) = 0$  so that f is a constant. Again  $k \ge 1$  implies  $f = 0$ .

Consequently, there is a C-isomorphism

 $S_k(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}} , \mathbb{C})$ 

 $f \longmapsto a_1(Tf),$ 

Since  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is finite free, we have

$$
Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathbb{C})\cong Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}.
$$

For a subring R of C, put  $S_k(\Gamma; R) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}, R)$ . If we consider the q-expansion at  $\infty$ , i.e., an injective homomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nM_k(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\;\;} & \mathbb{C}[\![q]\!] \\
f & \xrightarrow{\;\;} & \sum\limits_{n\geqslant 0} a_n(f)q^n\n\end{array},
$$

we see that the preimage of  $S_k(\Gamma;\mathbb{Z})$  in  $S_k(\Gamma)$  consists of the preimage of  $\mathbb{Z}[\![q]\!]$  in  $S_k(\Gamma)$ . In particular, this shows

**Corollary 4.17.1.** For k  $\geq 2$ ,  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$  admits a basis consisting of those with integral Fourier coefficients at  $\infty$ .

See [[Shi71](#page-27-10), §3.5] for related statements. Note that the integral lattice  $H_{par}^1(\Gamma,\mathbb{Z}[X,Y]_{k-2})$  is used in [[Shi71,](#page-27-10) (3.5.20) in Theorem 3.48].

#### <span id="page-27-1"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-27-10"></span>[Shi71] G. Shimura. *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*. Princeton University Press, 1971.
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[Bie76] R. Bieri. *Homological Dimension of Discrete Groups*. Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, 1976.
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[Bro82] S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*. Spring-Verlag, 1982.
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[DI95] F. Diamond and J. Im. "Modular forms and modular curves". In: *Seminar on Fermat's Last Theorem, Providence, RI*. 1995, pp. 39–133.
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[Mac95] S. MacLane. *Homology*. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[JS97] Gareth A. Jones and David Singerman. *Complex Functions, an algebraic and geometric viewpoint*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[DS05] F. Diamond and J. Shurman. *A First Course in Modular Forms*. Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>[Hid06] H. Hida. *Elementary Theory of* L*-functions and Eisenstein series*. Cambridge Univeristy Press, 2006.
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[NSW13] J. Neukirch, A. Schimidt, and Kay Winberg. *Cohomology of Number Fields*. Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[Wie18] Gabor Wiese. *Computational Arithmetic of Modular Forms (Course notes)*. 2018. arXiv: [1809 . 04645](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04645) [\[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04645).
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[Li20] Wen-Wei Li. *Introduction to modular forms*. Science Publishing (Beijing), 2020. ISBN: 978-7-003-064531- 9. URL: <https://www.wwli.asia/index.php/en/books-item-zh>.